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### 2019 At-A-Glance Satellite & Annual Meeting Schedule

**Toyama International Conference Centre**

*Schedule is subject to change*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
<th>Saturday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>Session 1 Panel I Kornysheva (08:00 - 10:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Session 2 Panel II Medendorp (11:00 - 13:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Session 3 Poster 1a Lunch (13:00 - 15:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Posters on Display (Session 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Session 4 Individual I (15:30 - 17:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Session 12 Panel VI Ivy (08:00 - 10:00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:15</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>Satellite Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>Session 5 Panel III Sjøgren (8:00 - 10:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:15</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>Session 6 Panel IV Takahashi (10:30 - 12:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:45</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>Session 7 Poster 1b Lunch (12:30 - 15:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:15</td>
<td>Session 8 Panel V Pandarinath (15:00 - 17:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>Session 9 Individual II (08:00 - 10:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>Break (10:00 -10:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>Session 10 Poster 2a Lunch (12:30 - 15:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:45</td>
<td>Session 11 Poster 2b Lunch (12:30 - 15:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>Session 12 Panel VI Xu (10:00 - 12:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:15</td>
<td>Session 13 Individual III (10:30 - 12:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>Session 14 Poster 2b Lunch (12:30 - 15:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:45</td>
<td>Session 15 Panel VII Venkatadri (15:00 - 17:00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>Members’ Meeting (17:00 - 17:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:15</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:45</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:15</td>
<td>NCM Board Meeting (17:30 - 20:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:45</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:15</td>
<td>Opening Reception The Olive Oil Restaurant (19:30 - 21:30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:45</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:00</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:15</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:45</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:00</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:15</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:45</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:00</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:15</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:30</td>
<td>Free Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About NCM

The Society for the Neural Control of Movement (NCM) is an international community of scientists, clinician-investigators and students all engaged in research whose common goal is to understand how the brain controls movement.

NCM was conceived in 1990 by Barry Peterson. With an initial leadership team that also included Peter Strick and Marjorie Anderson, NCM was formally established to bring together scientists seeking to understand the neural mechanisms that guide meaningful activities of daily life, primarily through the brain's control of the eyes, head, trunk, and limbs. Early members consisted largely of systems neurophysiologists, behavioral, computational and theoretical neurobiologists, and clinician-investigators interested in disorders of motor function.

From the outset the goal of NCM was to provide a useful gathering of investigators in an informal and casual setting to present and discuss where we are in a diverse and complex field, where we should be going and how we might best proceed as a community with multiple perspectives and approaches. The meeting was to be unique in style, such that sessions were formulated and proposed by small groups of members, each and geared to inform the larger attending community through focused presentations and discussions integrated into themes reflecting the diversity of the membership. Sessions would change in content with each yearly meeting.

The inaugural NCM Conference took place in April 1991 on Marcos Island, Florida, with roughly 140 attendees. The success of the initial years promoted longevity and expansion of NCM and its conference, both in attendance (now over 250) and the breadth of scientific content. Sessions cover all levels of inquiry—from perception to genetic expression, and from whole organism to intracellular function, while also including computational and theoretical approaches. Sessions have expanded to include a variety of formats and durations to accommodate diverse needs and interests, while poster sessions have been augmented to yield highly popular, vibrant and flexible forums of scientific interchange. This highly regarded and robust conference continues to meet in desirable, family-friendly locations typically in late April/early May every year.
Letter from the President

Welcome to the 29th annual meeting of the Society for the Neural Control of Movement, held for the first time ever in the Land of the Rising Sun! Although we have gotten as close to Japan as Hawaii on several occasions, until this year we have always asked our Asian colleagues to come to us. This year I am delighted to bring the meeting to them, and to note the highest attendance ever, of NCM members from Japan. Other registrants from a total of 24 countries include attendees from Hong Kong, South Korea, and Taiwan. Prior to the main meeting, Tadashi Isa, Kazuhiko Seki, Daichi Nozaki, and Jun Izawa have organized a satellite meeting on “Predictive coding and active inference.” Eye and hand meetings organized and hosted prior to the satellite by Drs. Isa and Seki, respectively, represent another NCM first. We’ve got lots of great motor control activity even before the main meeting!

Each year, I have had the honor of welcoming new members to the NCM board, and this year is no exception. What was exceptional this year was the large number of outstanding nominations we received. These nominations allow us to maintain a strong and diverse board, and are critical to the NCM Society. New to the board this year are Megan Carey, Champalimaud Center for the Unknown; Kazuhiko Seki, National Center of Neurology & Psychiatry; and Claire Honeycutt, Arizona State University. Pieter Medendorp, Radboud University, will return for a second term. Congratulations to all.

As you know, we also rely on NCM members to ensure a high-quality meeting by proposing excellent scientific content. Once again, the board received many more ideas than could be programmed, including 14 proposals for panels and 71 for individual talks. Overall program design remains similar to past meetings, but one important change to note is an increase in length from two to two and a half hours in the popular daily poster sessions. Of the 200 posters included in the program, one from the front lines of the brain machine interface field stands out. Nathan Copeland is one of a tiny handful of people who has received a cortical implant allowing him, despite a spinal cord injury, to control the movement of a robotic arm and to sense the contact of its fingers with objects. Nathan will present a poster entitled “Insights from an intracortical brain computer interface user.” He will also join us for the evening social gatherings. Come learn firsthand what his experience has been like.

The NCM annual meeting also features compelling keynote addresses. The first, on Wednesday morning, is that of the winner of the NCM Early Investigator Award, Gelsy Torres-Oviedo. Gelsy is an assistant professor in bioengineering at the University of Pittsburgh, where she directs the Sensorimotor Learning Laboratory. Her talk is entitled “Sensorimotor adaptation studies to advance neurorehabilitation after stroke.” John Kalaska, winner of the NCM Distinguished Career Award, will present the closing keynote address, entitled “Evolving perspectives on the cortical control of reaching movements.” John serves as professor in the neuroscience department at the Université de Montréal.

Included among the individual and panel talks are 12 winners of NCM travel awards from trainees at the concluding stage of their Ph.D. program or post-doctoral fellowship. Many of our previous recipients have subsequently taken on prominent roles in the Society, so expect the same from this group. Four of these awardees, Alexander Thomas Mathis, Sam McDougle, Rodrigo Silva Maeda, and Andrea Pack have agreed to write the meeting highlights manuscript, which will be published again this year in the Journal of Neurophysiology. Thanks to Bill Yates and his staff for making this possible.

On the social front, don’t forget to sign up for one of the sponsored excursions on Friday afternoon. If you prefer to explore on your own, on-site tourism volunteers will be available to assist in translation, arranging dinners, tours, taxis, etc. We will also continue the pub crawl tradition begun in Dublin, swapping single malt for sake. On Wednesday through Friday, plan to gather at Daigo 醍醐, Amayot Yokocho あまよっと横丁，and Uminokami Yamanokami 海の神 山の神.

I’d like to encourage everyone to attend a short members’ meeting, immediately after the final scientific session on Thursday. In addition to offering the annual report and describing future meeting locations, we will discuss issues of interest to the society at large and seek your feedback about ways to improve our annual meeting. Finally, as always, I am grateful for all the efforts of Marischal De Armond, Michelle Smith, and Podium Conference Specialists without whom this meeting would not be possible. Stop by and give them your thanks!

Cordially,

Lee Miller, President
NCM Leadership

Elected members govern the Society for the Neural Control of Movement. These members comprise the Board of Directors who in turn elects Officers that comprise the Executive Committee. The Society’s Bylaws govern how the Board manages the Society.

Officers and Board members are elected for three-year terms and may be re-elected to one additional contiguous term. The current Board comprises the following Officers and Directors:

### Board Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Medendorp</td>
<td>Donders Institute</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2016 – 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena Ting</td>
<td>Emory University</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2016 – 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John van Opstal</td>
<td>Donders Institute</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2016 – 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Turner</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2016 – 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Berniker</td>
<td>University of Illinois at Chicago</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2017 – 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florian Kagerer</td>
<td>Michigan State University</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2017 – 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Jacques Orban de Xivry</td>
<td>KU Leuven</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>2017 – 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelsy Torres</td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2017 – 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaa Ahmed</td>
<td>University of Colorado</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2018 – 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Grafton</td>
<td>UCSB</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2018 – 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagmar Sternad</td>
<td>Northeastern University</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2018 – 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Haith</td>
<td>Johns Hopkins University</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2018 – 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Serving first 3 year term  
2 Serving second 3 year term

### Incoming Board Members

The following members will begin their term at the 2019 Annual Meeting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claire Honeycutt</td>
<td>Arizona State University</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2019 – 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazuhiko Seki</td>
<td>National Center of Neurology &amp; Psychology</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2019 – 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Carey</td>
<td>Champalimaud Center of the Unknown</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>2019 – 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pieter Medendorp</td>
<td>Donders Institute</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>2019 – 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BOARD SERVICE

Nominations for NCM Board service open in January. Nominations must come from members in good standing, and only members are invited to stand for election. To learn more about Board service or if you are interested in serving on the NCM Board, please discuss your interest with one of NCM’s Board members or Officers, or send an email to [management@NCM-Society.org](mailto:management@NCM-Society.org)

### NCM Administration

Association Secretariat & Conference Management  
(management@ncm-society.org)

**Podium Conference Services**

Michelle Smith | Marischal De Armond | Laurie De Armond
Membership Information

NCM membership is open to all scientists, principal investigators and students from around the world, pursuing research whose goal is to understand how the brain controls movement. Memberships are valid September 1 through August 31 each year.

Benefits

NCM membership includes the following benefits:

- Opportunity to submit proposals and abstracts for sessions at the Annual Conference
- Opportunity to submit proposals for satellite meetings
- Opportunity to register for Annual NCM Conferences at reduced registration rates
- Access to the member resource database and other members’ web services
- Professional development and networking
- Access and ability to respond directly to job opportunity postings
- Ability to post job opportunities
- Access to online NCM resources and Annual Conference proceedings
- Access to scholarships (Grad Students and Post Docs)
- Opportunity to vote in Annual Elections of NCM Board members
- Opportunity to stand for election to, and serve on, the NCM Board of Directors
- Regular email updates and notices

To become an NCM Member please visit us at the registration desk today.

NCM History

Since 1991 NCM’s annual conferences have provided a forum for leading edge research, scholarly debate, the interchange of ideas, and a platform for many exceptional established and emerging researchers in the field of Neural Science. We are proud that this has all been accomplished in some of the nicest destinations in the world. Our history is strong and our future is bright.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Hotel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 30 – May 4, 2018</td>
<td>Santa Fe, NM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Hilton Buffalo Thunder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>May 1 – 5, 2017</td>
<td>Dublin</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>The Clayton Hotel Burlington Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 24 – 29, 2016</td>
<td>Montego Bay</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>Hilton Rose Hall Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 20 – 24, 2015</td>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Francis Marion Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 21 – 25, 2014</td>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Grand Hotel Krasnapolsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23rd Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 16 – 20, 2013</td>
<td>San Juan, Puerto Rico</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>El San Juan Hotel &amp; Casino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 23 – 28, 2012</td>
<td>Venice</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Hilton Molino Stucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 26 – 30, 2011</td>
<td>San Juan, Puerto Rico</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>El San Juan Hotel &amp; Casino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 20 – 25, 2010</td>
<td>Naples, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Naples Beach Hotel &amp; Golf Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 28 – May 3, 2009</td>
<td>Waikoloa, HI</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Waikoloa Beach Marriott Resort &amp; Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 29 – May 4, 2008</td>
<td>Naples, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Naples Beach Hotel &amp; Golf Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>March 25 – April 1, 2007</td>
<td>Seville</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Melia Sevilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 30 – May 7, 2006</td>
<td>Key Biscayne, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Sonesta Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annual Meeting Code of Conduct

As a representative of your institution the standards and code of conduct of your institution are in effect while at the NCM Annual Meeting, Satellite Meeting and all social events. As a reminder, while at the NCM Annual Meeting, the code of conduct and professional standards set by your institution are still in effect.

The Society for the Neural Control of Movement (NCM) encourages open and honest intellectual debate within a welcoming and inclusive atmosphere at the Annual Meeting and through official NCM social media channels. To help maintain an open and respectful community of scientists, NCM does not tolerate illegal or inappropriate behavior at any annual meeting, including violations of applicable laws of the country in which the meeting is taking place. NCM condemns inappropriate or suggestive acts or comments that demean or harass another person by reason of gender, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, physical appearance, ethnicity/race, religion (or lack thereof), or that are generally unwelcome or offensive to other members of the community. Sexual language and imagery, unless related to specific scientific discussions, is not appropriate for any conference venue, including talks, workshops, parties, Twitter and other online media. As the NCM Annual Meeting is attended by a wide spectrum of delegates, please be aware of the power dynamic between PIs, post doctoral fellows and students and how that dynamic may affect interactions amongst delegates. NCM staff will help participants contact hotel/venue security or local law enforcement, provide escorts, or otherwise assist those experiencing harassment to feel safe for the duration of the meeting. We value your attendance.

If you are being harassed or impacted negatively, please contact the NCM staff to discuss your concern.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Hotel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 12 – 17, 2006</td>
<td>Key Biscayne, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Sonesta Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>March 25 – April 3, 2004</td>
<td>Sitges</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Melia Sitges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 22 – 27, 2003</td>
<td>Santa Barbara, CA</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Fess Parker’s Doubletree Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 14 – 21, 2002</td>
<td>Naples, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Naples Beach Hotel &amp; Golf Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 9 – 17, 2000</td>
<td>Key West, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Wyndham Casa Marina Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 11 – 19, 1999</td>
<td>Kauai, HI</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Princeville Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 14 – 22, 1998</td>
<td>Key West, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Marriott Casa Marina Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 8 – 16, 1997</td>
<td>Cozumel</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Presidente Intercontinental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 16 – 21, 1996</td>
<td>Marco Island, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Radisson Suite Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 18 – 25, 1995</td>
<td>Key West, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Marriott Casa Marina Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Annual Meeting*</td>
<td>April 13 – 22, 1994</td>
<td>Maui, HI</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Maui Marriott Resort (Lahaina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 13 – 18, 1993</td>
<td>Marco Island, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Radisson Suite Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 21 – 26, 1992</td>
<td>Marco Island, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Radisson Suite Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Annual Meeting</td>
<td>April 6 – 11, 1991</td>
<td>Marco Island, FL</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Radisson Suite Beach Resort</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates a Satellite Meeting was held in conjunction with the Annual Conference
General Conference Information

Conference Venue
Toyama International Conference Center
1-2 Ote-machi | Toyama-city, Toyama | 930-0084

All conference sessions will take place in this location. The Opening Reception will be held off site at the Olive Oil Restaurant at 1-5-25 Sakuramachi | Apa Villa Bldg 1F a short 15 minute walk from the Toyama International Conference Center.

Registration
SATELLITE MEETING
Satellite Meeting registration fees include a complimentary drink during a drop in gathering on Monday April 22 at the ANA Crowne Plaza hotel, access to the full day meeting with refreshment breaks and a buffet lunch on Tuesday April 23.

ANNUAL CONFERENCE
Annual Conference registration fees include access to all sessions including panel, individual, and poster sessions. Registration also includes daily refreshment breaks, grazing lunches, the Opening Reception at Olive Oil Kitchen Restaurant and the Closing Drinks Reception.

ADDITIONAL TICKETS
Tickets can be purchased separately for your guests and/or children for the Opening Reception, Closing Drinks Reception and Buffet Lunches and excursions. These additional tickets can be purchased from the staff at NCM’s Registration Desk.

Name Badges
Your name badge is your admission ticket to the conference sessions, coffee breaks, meals, and receptions. Please wear it at all times. At the end of the Conference we ask that you recycle your name badge in one of the name badge recycling stations that will be set out or leave it at the Registration Desk.

To help identify and mentor our future investigators, student delegates have blue edged badges. All other delegates have clear badges. NCM Officers and Board Members, Exhibitors and Staff will be identified by appropriate ribbons. The scholarship winners and the Early Career Award winner will be identified by award winner ribbons.

Dress Code
Dress is casual for all NCM meetings and social events.

Registration and Information Desk Hours
The NCM Registration and Information Desk, located outside the Main Hall of the Toyama International Conference Center, will be open during the following dates and times:

**Monday, April 22** 17:00 – 19:00**
At the ANA Crowne Plaza lobby

Tuesday, April 23 08:00 – 18:00

Wednesday, April 24 07:30 – 16:00

Thursday, April 25 07:30 – 17:00

Friday, April 26 07:30 – 15:00

Saturday, April 27 07:30 – 17:00

If you need assistance during the conference, please visit the Registration Desk.

Poster Information

ANNUAL MEETING:
There are two Poster Sessions during the Meeting and posters have been allocated to either one of the sessions based on poster themes. Poster presenters must set-up and remove their posters during the following times.

POSTER SESSION 1
Set-up: Wednesday April 24, 07:30 and 10:00
Remove: Thursday, April 25, between 17:00 and 18:00

POSTER SESSION 2
Set-up: Friday, April 26, between 07:30 and 10:00
Remove: Saturday, April 27 immediately following the poster session at 15:00

Any posters that are not taken down by the removal deadline will be held at the registration desk until the end of the Meeting. Any posters that remain unclaimed by the end of the Meeting will be disposed of.

Information on Poster Authors (Lead), Poster Numbers and Poster Titles begins on page 38. For a complete copy of all the poster abstracts, a digital abstract booklet can be downloaded from the Member Only section of the NCM Website.

Easy reference Poster floor plans for each session can be found on the inside back cover of this program.
Staff
NCM staff from Podium Conference Specialists can be identified by orange ribbons on their name badges. Feel free to ask anyone of our staff for assistance. For immediate assistance please visit us at the Registration Desk.

Internet Services
Wireless Internet is available to Annual Meeting delegates for no charge. Simply choose the appropriate WiFi network depending on where you are and enter the password which is the same for all areas.

3F Foyer SSID: I.C.Hwireless3F
Main Hall SSID: TICCMAINHALL
Room 201-204 SSID: TICC201-204
Password: kokusai1

Kindly note, the WiFi strength is ideal for checking emails and websites but is not strong enough for streaming videos or heavy social media use.

If you are active on social media, make sure to hashtag #NCMToy @ncm_soc when referring to the meeting. If you have any Instagram photos, tag them as #NCMToy and all photos will be displayed on our website. We ask all NCM delegates to respect no live tweeting of presentations without prior approval from the speakers/authors and no photography in the poster hall. We encourage social tweets about the conference and look forward to growing our online community.

If you require assistance, please visit the registration desk and we will endeavour to assist you.

No Smoking Policy
The Toyama International Conference Center is a completely non-smoking facility. Smoking areas are located outside the conference center.
Special Meetings & Events

Monday, April 22 18:00 – 19:00
Satellite Drinks Reception
(Satellite Meeting Registrants)
Location: ANA Crowne Plaza Hotel, 1F Café –
Stop by the registration desk in the lobby of the ANA Hotel

Tuesday, April 23 19:30 – 21:30
Opening Reception
Location: Olive Oil Kitchen Restaurant,
1-5-25 Sakuramachi | Apa Villa Bldg 1F

Thursday, April 25 17:00 – 17:30
NCM Members Meeting
Location: Main Hall

Saturday, April 27 18:00 – 19:00
Closing Drinks Reception
Location: Toyama International Conference Center
NCM Evening Meet up Locations

Join us each evening in a different and unique Toyama restaurant/bar as we explore Toyama by night!

Wednesday April 24

**Daigo** 醍醐
18:00-24:00
8-3 Honmachi Toyama City
(9-min walk from the TICC)
Daigo is a Japanese sake bar and restaurant, with more than 400 kinds of Japanese sake available.
Website: syusuidaigo.com/toyama

Thursday April 25

**AMAYOT Yokocho** あまよっと横丁
19:00-24:00
3-2-16 Sogawa Toyama City
(5-min walk from the TICC)
A complex facility that consists of 7 container-style restaurant bars and an open terrace, near the Gland Plaza. There is something for everyone in this unique restaurant/bar!
Website: amayot.jp

Friday April 26

**Uminokami Yamanokami** 海の神 山の神
17:30-23:00
Address: 1-1-13 Sogawa Toyama city
(5-min walk from the TICC, close to the Daiichi Hotel)
Japanese sake bar and restaurant where guests can enjoy Japanese sake sitting around the Irori-fireplace on tatami mats. Counter seats also available.
Website: www.umiyama.ne.jp

Don’t forget to stop by the tourism information desk for recommendations on restaurants, tours, or unique experiences in Toyama.
Conference Excursions

**Iwase Tour**

**April 26, 15:00 – 18:30**  
Minimum of 15 participants  
Price: $75USD, includes an English speaking guide and transportation

Depart from the Toyama International Conference Center by foot to the Toyama north station. Guests will board a tram to **Iwase** for the short 30 minute transfer. Upon arrival at Iwase, guests will visit the **Masuda brewery**, a sake brewery with a long history in the region and a historically significant sake.

The Iwase district was a thriving port town at the beginning of the Edo period (1603-1867) and has examples of **old timber houses** and **traditional shops** throughout the community. These will be observed during the travel through the community.

Guests will return to Toyama aboard a boat for the **night cruise** and will be returned to **Kansui Park** at 18:00. Kansui Park has **vast lawns** in additional to a **spring, waterfall, playground** and the **Tenmon-kyo Bridge**. The park is also known as having the most beautiful Starbucks location in the world!

**Itachibawa River Rafting and walking tour**

**April 26, 15:00 – 17:00**  
Groups of 8 only  
Price: $55USD, includes an English speaking guide

Depart from the Toyama International Conference Center by foot to the local **Toyama tram**. Following a short tram ride, guests will walk through the shopping district to the **Ishikura-machi Enmei Jizo** where statues preside over springs from the **Itachi River** whose water is believed to cure sickness. Following the visit to the shrine, guests will board a river raft for a short trip down the Itachigawa River to enjoy the cherry blossoms and view of the city. Debarking at the **Matsukawa Riverside**, guests will enjoy the casual stroll back along the river and through the **Toyama Castle Park** until a return to the Toyama International Conference Center.

**Toyama Castle Gardens and Tea Ceremony**

**April 26, 15:00 – 17:00**  
Minimum of 4 participants/Maximum of 16 participants  
Price: $40USD, includes an English speaking guide

Depart from the Toyama International Conference Center by foot and begin with a stroll through the **Castle Park** immediately across from the TICC. While enjoying the stroll through the Castle grounds, the guide will share information of interest and history. Toyama Castle Park offers striking views of the moat and tower of **Toyama Castle**. The Castle was once the residence of the Maeda clan of the Toyama Domain of the Edo period (1603-1867).

Guests will enjoy time in the **Sato memorial art museum** and will experience a short traditional **tea ceremony** with green tea and sweets before returning to the Toyama International Conference Center.
Hardware and Software for Advanced Electrophysiology

Signal Processing
- Supports up to 512 channels of stimulation and recording
- Optimized for complex experimental designs
- Compact, portable form-factor

Equipment Interfacing

Recording & Stimulating

sales@rppl.com | 801-413-0139 | rippleneuro.com
The KINARM Family:

your end-to-end solution for motor control research

At NCM Toyama we are pleased to be represented by our exclusive Japanese distributor, Miyuki Giken in booth #1.
BOOTH #3
Come by and see
our latest solutions

SUBJECT INTERFACE

Mix isolated stimulation and neural signal recording in one versatile device. TDT’s Subject Interface features new electrical stimulation capabilities and can deliver complex stimulus patterns with unprecedented precision and flexibility.

- Customize up to 8 banks with stimulation, analog and digital recording (up to 128 channels of stimulation or recording mix and match)
- Stimulate up to 5 mA per channel with 10 nA resolution and +/-15V compliance
- Deliver stimuli in current or voltage mode
- Combine multiple banks to increase stimulation current and/or compliance voltage
- Charge balance built into SI hardware and TDT’s Synapse Software
- Generate complex pulse trains with integrated Synapse software

Synapse makes it easy, giving you the freedom to design closed-loop experiments without limitations. www.tdt.com/synapse

Contact us today.
 sales@tdt.com  |  ☎ +1(386) 462-9622  |  www.tdt.com
**Satellite Meeting Schedule**

**NCM Satellite Meeting, Toyama, Japan**
April 22 & 23, 2019

Scientific session will be held at the Toyama International Conference Center

**Predictive coding and active inference to know and explore the world**

Exploration is innate to our motor control and motor learning systems. A central computational function of our brain is to proactively generate action to gain valuable sensory states rather than passively react to changes of the environment. In this function, the optimal inference via integration of the prediction and the feedback information from the external world plays a critical role. A question here is how our nervous system for motor function embeds this computation.

In the past two decades, a normative approach on motor control and learning has illustrated that the brain is capable of controlling the body in a stochastically optimal manner by integrating multimodal sensory information every time seconds. Indeed, the Bayesian theory, the Kalman filtering theory, as well as the LQG theory have provided us with a unified computational account of the motor control. However, the block diagram view of the computational anatomy of the brain might miss something important buried in the sophistication in the hierarchical architecture of the motor nervous system.

The goal of this satellite meeting is to discuss how the computational integrity of the motor control system is achieved with the predictive coding of the sensory outcome and errors which are embedded differently among multiple layers of hierarchical structure in the sensorimotor transformation mediated by the nervous system. To explore this view, we adopt a multi-disciplinary approach by getting together with the robot scientists, the computational/behavioral scientists, and the neurophysiologists.

The satellite is organized by
Tadashi Isa, Kyoto University
Kazuhiko Seki, National Institute of Neuroscience
Daichi Nozaki, The University of Tokyo; and
Jun Izawa, Tsukuba University

---

**Monday, April 22, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>Satellite Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANA Crowne Plaza Hotel Lobby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>Satellite Drinks Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANA Crowne Plaza 1F Café</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tuesday, April 23, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>Tutorial Lecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>SESSION 1: Active inference in broad perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Cognitive neurorobotics studies using the framework of predictive coding and active inference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun Tani, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Neuronal attractor dynamics: coupling perception, movement generation, and learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yulia Sandamirskaya, ETH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>Lateralized modulation of responses to sensory consequences of voluntary actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roy Mukamel, Tel-Aviv University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:50</td>
<td>Large-scale cortical networks for hierarchical prediction in coding in the primate brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zenas Chao, Kyoto University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 – 14:40</td>
<td><strong>SESSION 2: Active inference in the multiple sensorimotor loops</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Spinal and cortical neural mechanism for active inference in volitional movement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30 – 14:00</td>
<td><strong>Goal-directed adaptive motor control in mice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00 – 14:20</td>
<td><strong>Predictive eye movement control in fish and humans</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:20 – 14:40</td>
<td><strong>The somatosensory cortex encodes anticipatory signal during voluntary movement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:40 – 15:10</td>
<td><strong>Coffee Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:10 – 17:00</td>
<td><strong>SESSION 3: Predictive motor control viewed from active inference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:10 – 15:40</td>
<td><strong>Predictive setup of implicit sensorimotor processing according to tasks and environments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:40 – 16:10</td>
<td><strong>Sensory-motor reverberating circuit controls perception</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:10 – 16:40</td>
<td><strong>Predictive sensing: The role of motor signals in vestibular processing during active movements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:40 – 17:00</td>
<td><strong>Driving limb control principles using a neuromechanical Drosophila model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00 – 17:15</td>
<td><strong>Transition Time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:15 – 18:15</td>
<td><strong>Keynote Lecture</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00 – 21:00</td>
<td><strong>Opening Reception for Annual Meeting</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please Note:** If you registered to attend the Satellite Meeting ONLY and want to attend the dinner, tickets can be purchased at the registration desk.
# Annual Meeting Schedule

All sessions will be held at the Toyama International Conference Center

**DAY 1 Tuesday, April 23, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19:30 – 21:30</td>
<td>Opening Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off Site: <strong>Olive Oil Kitchen Restaurant</strong>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-5-25 Sakuramachi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DAY 2 Wednesday, April 24, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 10:00</td>
<td>Session 1, Panel I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Motor sequence preparation and control: From population dynamics to whole brain representations</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Katja Kornysheva¹, Mark Churchland², Bence Olveczky³,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atsushi Yokoi⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Bangor University, ²Columbia University, ³Harvard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University, ⁴National Institute of Information and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communications Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussant: Jörn Diedrichsen, Western University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 – 10:30</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00</td>
<td>Early Career Award Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sensorimotor adaptation studies to advance neurorehabilitation after stroke</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gelsy Torres-Oviedo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 13:00</td>
<td>Session 2, Panel II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Action in Motion: Decisions and actions as we move through our world</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. Pieter Medendorp¹, Andrea Green², Friedl De Groote³,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alexander Gail⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Radboud Univ Nijmegen, ²University of Montreal,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>³KU Leuven, ⁴German Primate Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussant: Kathleen Cullen, Johns Hopkins University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 3, Poster 1a and Lunch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13:00 – 15:30</td>
<td>Session 3, Poster 1a and Lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Session 4, Individual I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:30 – 17:30</td>
<td>Session 4, Individual I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Generation of compound movements by motor cortex</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Andrew Zimnik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Andrew Zimnik¹, Mark Churchland¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Columbia University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Transient grasp force signals in motor cortex during extended object interaction</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Brian Dekleva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Brian Dekleva¹, Jennifer Collinger¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹University of Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Contrasting roles of PMd and A5 to feedback responses to mechanical disturbances of the limb in non-human primates</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Tomohiko Takei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Tomohiko Takei¹, Stephen Lomber², Douglas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cook³, Stephen Scott³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Kyoto University, ²Western University, ³Queen’s University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Dynamical influence of premotor and primary motor cortex during movement</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Raina D’Aleo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Raina D’Aleo¹, Adam Rouse², Marc Schieber²,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sridevi Sarma¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Johns Hopkins, ²University of Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Feedforward and feedback control share an internal model of the arm’s dynamics</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Rodrigo Maeda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Rodrigo Maeda¹, Tyler Cluff², Paul Gribble¹,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Andrew Pruszynski¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹Western University, ²University of Calgary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Intracortical microstimulation parameters affect tactile perception</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presenting Author: Christopher Hughes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors: Christopher Hughes¹, Sharlene Flesher², Jeffrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weiss¹, Sliman Bensmaia³, Robert Gaunt¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>¹University Of Pittsburgh, ²Stanford University, ³University Of Chicago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DAY 3 Thursday, April 25, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00 – 10:00</td>
<td>Session 5, Panel III</td>
<td><strong>Cerebellar computation 50 years after Marr-Albus: where are we now?</strong>&lt;br&gt;Terence Sanger¹, Mitsuo Kawato², Mackenzie Mathis³, Huu Hoang², Kazuo Kitamura⁴&lt;br&gt;¹University of Southern California, ²ATR Computational Neuroscience Laboratories, ³Harvard University, ⁴University of Yamanashi&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Discussant: Mitsuo Kawato, ATR Computational Neuroscience Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 – 10:30</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 12:30</td>
<td>Session 6, Panel IV</td>
<td><strong>New perspectives on the role of the superior colliculus in visually-guided motor behavior</strong>&lt;br&gt;Mayu Takahashi¹, John van Opstal², Neeraj Gandhi³, Ziad Hafed⁴&lt;br&gt;¹Tokyo Medical and Dental University, ²Radboud University Nijmegen, ³University of Pittsburgh, ⁴Tübingen University&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Discussant: Doug Munoz, Queens University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 15:00</td>
<td>Session 7, Poster 1b and Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00 – 17:00</td>
<td>Session 8, Panel V</td>
<td><strong>Applications of deep learning in motor neuroscience</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chethan Pandarinath¹, Laura Driscoll², Alexander Mathis³, Nidhi Seethapathi⁴&lt;br&gt;¹Emory University and Georgia Institute of Technology, ²Stanford University, ³Harvard University, ⁴University of Pennsylvania&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Discussant: Andrew Pruszynski, Western University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00 – 17:30</td>
<td>NCM Members Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All members of the Society for the Neural Control of Movement are invited to attend.

### DAY 4 Friday, April 26, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 08:00 – 10:00 | Session 9, Individual II                    | **Precise spike-timing codes for vocal motor control**<br>Presenting Author: Andrea Pack<br>Authors: Andrea Pack¹, Bryce Chung³, Muneeb Zia³, Coen Ellemans³, Muhammad Bakir², Samuel Sober¹<br>¹Emory University, ²Georgia Institute of Technology, ³University of Southern Denmark<br><br>Motor variability predicts motor learning in complex real-world task<br>Presenting Author: Shlomi Haar<br>Authors: Shlomi Haar¹, Aldo Faisal¹<br>¹Imperial College London<br><br>Motor expertise promotes transfer of visuo-motor compensations acquired during prism exposure<br>Presenting Author: Lisa Fleury<br>Authors: Lisa Fleury¹, Damien Pastor¹, Patrice Revol¹, Ludovic Delporte¹, Yves Rossetti¹<br>¹Centre of Research in Neurosciences of Lyon<br><br>Global disinhibition as a key mechanism for the recovery of hand functions after spinal cord injury<br>Presenting Author: Tadashi Isa<br>Authors: Tadashi Isa¹, Reona Yamaguchi¹, Toshinari Kawasaki¹, Satoko Ueno¹, Masahiro Mitsuhashi¹, Zenas Chao¹<br>¹Kyoto University<br><br>Dynamic sonomyographic imaging of the residual musculature provides proportional control for upper-extremity amputees<br>Presenting Author: Wilsaan Joiner<br>Authors: Wilsaan Joiner¹, Ananya Dhawan¹, Biswarup Mukherjee¹, Shrinivas Patwardhan¹, Nima Akhlaghi¹, Gyorgy Levay², Michelle Harris-Love¹, Siddhartha Sikdar¹<br>¹George Mason University, ²Infinite Biomedical Technologies<br><br>Neural basis of location-specific pupil luminance modulation<br>Presenting Author: Doug Munoz<br>Authors: Doug Munoz¹, Chin-An (Josh) Wang¹,²<br>¹Queen’s University, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei Medical University
| 10:00 – 10:30 | Break                                        |                                               |
Annual Meeting Schedule

10:30 – 12:30  Session 10, Panel VI

Multi-dimensional dexterous hand function and recovery
Jing Xu¹, Marco Santello², Firas Mawase³, Shinichi Furuya⁴
¹Johns Hopkins University, ²Arizona State University, ³Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, ⁴Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc., Sophia University
Discussant: Marc Schieber, University of Rochester

12:30 – 15:00  Session 11, Poster 2a and Lunch

15:00 – 17:00  Free time and Ticketed Excursions

DAY 5 Saturday, April 27, 2019

08:00 – 10:00  Session 12, Panel VII

Beyond motor errors: New perspectives on the role of the cerebellum in learning
Richard Ivry¹, Court Hull², Mark Wagner³, Amanda Therrien⁴, Samuel McDougle⁵
¹University of California, ²Duke University Medical School, ³Stanford, ⁴The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, ⁵University of California, Berkeley
Discussants: Richard Ivry, University of California & Jörn Diedrichsen, Western University

10:00 – 10:30  Break

10:30 – 12:30  Session 13, Individual III

Encoding and control of motor prediction and feedback in the cerebellar cortex
Presenting Author: Martha Streng
Authors: Martha Streng¹, Laurentiu Popa¹, Timothy Ebner¹
¹University of Minnesota

Spatial and temporal locomotor learning in the mouse cerebellum
Presenting Author: Megan Carey
Authors: Dana Darmohray¹, Jovin Jacobs¹, Hugo Marques¹, Megan Carey¹
¹Champalimaud Neuroscience Programme

Implicit adaptation is driven by inverse model learning, not forward model learning
Presenting Author: Alkis Hadjiosif
Authors: Alkis Hadjiosif¹, John Krakauer¹, Adrian Haith¹
¹Johns Hopkins University

Somatosensory cortex participates in the consolidation of human motor memory
Presenting Author: David Ostry
Authors: Neeraj Kumar¹, Timothy Manning², David Ostry³
¹McGill University and Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, ²McGill University, ³McGill University and Haskins Laboratories

Underlying mechanisms of reward-based motor learning
Presenting Author: Peter Holland
Authors: Peter Holland¹, Olivier Codol¹, Joseph Galea¹
¹University of Birmingham

Sleep’s benefit on multiple forms of locomotor learning
Presenting Author: Julia Choi
Authors: Julia Choi¹, Rebecca Spencer¹, Gabriela Borin¹
¹University of Massachusetts Amherst

12:30 – 15:00  Session 14, Poster 2b and Lunch

15:00 – 17:00  Session 15, Panel VIII

Complex material properties of muscle: artifacts or features?
Madhusudhan Venkadesan¹, Neville Hogan², Kiisa Nishikawa³, Lena Ting⁴
¹Yale University, ²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ³Northern Arizona University, ⁴Emory University & Georgia Tech
Discussant: Simon Giszter, Drexel University

17:00 – 18:00  Session 16, Keynote Address

Evolving perspectives on the cortical control of reaching movements – Random observations and recollections from a reasonably OK career
John Francis Kalaska, Université de Montréal

18:00 – 19:00  Closing Drinks Reception
ELECTRODES for RESEARCH

High durability and stability, reasonable.

Diameter of needle: 8 ch: φ300μm, 16 ch: φ370μm
Electrode pitch: 100 μm / 200μm
Electrode tip: PtIr, φ30 μm

Various specifications for laboratory animals such as rats, mice and primates

ECOG Electrode & Micro ECoG Electrode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECoG Electrode</th>
<th>Micro ECoG Electrode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrode plate OD</td>
<td>φ2.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode tip OD</td>
<td>φ1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode material</td>
<td>Pt (99.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode pitch</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode layout</td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet thickness</td>
<td>0.05x2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead wire material</td>
<td>Stainless steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead wire length</td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector</td>
<td>Specify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. G.</td>
<td>Depend on needs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Micro Cuff Electrode

Provides stable stimulation / recording of nerves. For acute, chronic study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment System for Electrophysiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube OD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of electrode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch of electrodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead wire material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead wire length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNIQUE MEDICAL

1-13-5 Izumi-honcho Komae-shi Tokyo  E-mail: tokyo@unique-medical.jp
Team & Individual Oral Abstracts

Wednesday April 24, 2019

08:00 – 10:00  Session 1, Panel I

Motor sequence preparation and control: From population dynamics to whole brain representations
Katja Kornysheva¹, Mark Churchland², Bence Olveczky³, Atsushi Yokoi⁴
¹Bangor University, ²Columbia University, ³Harvard University, ⁴National Institute of Information and Communications Technology
The ability to acquire and retrieve action sequences from memory fluently is essential for daily functioning. While research on ‘serial behaviour’ has been conducted for almost 140 years, a vigorous debate on its neural basis continues. Recent analytical progress with regard to neural population dynamics and neuroimaging patterns have led to significant advances in the field, revealing fundamental neural principles behind sequence preparation and control across brain regions and at different levels of the motor hierarchy. Here we present research across human and animal models to synthesize conceptual advances in the field. First, Mark Churchland will present new findings on population dynamics in the SMA and in M1 during extended movements in non-human primates. He will show that population dynamics are very different in these areas, in ways predicted by network models performing different classes of computation. Specifically, neural trajectories in the SMA, but not in M1, anticipate behaviour that will diverge in the future. The population structure underlying this computational property contributes well-known properties of single-neuron tuning, such as ‘representations’ of time within a longer movement. Second, Atsushi Yokoi will present a series of studies which provide new insights into how human neocortex organizes movement sequences hierarchically. Specifically, Atsushi will demonstrate that the pattern in M1 represents individual finger movements, whereas premotor and parietal cortices show a mixture of chunk, sequence and finger transition representations. He will discuss how the use of representational parcellation enables a model-free division of these non-primary motor cortices into separate clusters, each showing a unique representation along the stimulus-to-action gradient. Third, Bence Olveczky will present laboratory studies which enable training of rodents to generate complex motor sequences using operant conditioning paradigms. Bence will report on the results from circuit function manipulation to determine their role in generating learned movement sequences. He will discuss this work in the context if his previous discoveries related to sequence control in songbirds, which suggest an independent control of the timing of sequences. Finally, Katja Kornysheva will show how non-invasive electrophysiology in humans can be used to readout the structure of actions before sequence initiation. Specifically, she will discuss findings suggesting that sequential action patterns are competitively queued at a trial-by-trial level during sequence preparation according to sequence position. Katja will argue that this pattern activation gradient during preparation reflects a transferable temporal template of a sequence and bears on performance accuracy. In sum, this session will provide new avenues for interdisciplinary discussion and collaboration.

10:30 – 11:00  Early Career Award Winner Talk

Sensorimotor adaptation studies to advance neurorehabilitation after stroke
Gelsy Torres-Oviedo, University of Pittsburgh
My long-term research goals are to advance the current understanding of walking deficits post-stroke and develop treatments to improve their gait. My approach has been to combine quantitative tools from engineering and experimental work based on post-stroke neurology. I believe that this multidisciplinary approach is needed to advance the field of gait rehabilitation; provide insights into patients’ motor deficits; and generate predictions of treatment outcomes on an individual basis. At NCM 2019, I will focus the discussion on my work related to the generalization of movements from trained to untrained situations. I will start presenting a detailed characterization of muscle activity (i.e., EMGs) associated to errors elicited by introducing a split-belt environment of two opposite directions (i.e., right leg moving faster than the other or vice versa). This quantification revealed that motor patterns upon split-belt removal after sensorimotor recalibration are the same as those first experienced upon introduction of the opposite perturbation (Iturralde and Torres-Oviedo, bioRxiv 2018). From a clinical perspective, our detailed EMG characterization is relevant because it indicates clinical targets post-stroke and has also revealed a partial dissociation in the execution and recalibration of motor commands: the execution requires intact cerebral
structures, whereas the recalibration does not (deKam, Iturralde, and Torres-Oviedo, bioRxiv 2019). From a basic science perspective, our findings are important because they support the theory that 1) people develop motor memories associated to specific walking conditions (e.g., split-belt environments of different direction) and that 2) errors upon introduction or removal of a new environment enable the transition between motor memories appropriate to the context at hand. Based on this theory, I will discuss the effect of errors of different magnitude and direction on the generalization of motor patterns from the treadmill to overground (model predictions and empirical results will be contrasted). Overall, my work is just an example of scientific efforts to address clinical problems through a combination of computational and laboratory-based studies. I envision that my research will contribute to the progress of gait rehabilitation and ultimately improve the quality of life of patients and caregivers.

11:00 – 11:30  
Session 2, Panel II  
Action in Motion: Decisions and actions as we move through our world  
W. Pieter Medendorp¹, Andrea Green², Friedl de Groote³, Alexander Gail⁴  
¹Radboud Univ Nijmegen, ²University of Montreal, ³KU Leuven ⁴German Primate Center

Living in a dynamic world, we are continuously in motion, avoiding obstacles, and interacting with objects of interest. How do we perform such complex tasks? Experiments under simplified laboratory conditions, with body movements restrained, indicate that our behavioral repertoire is controlled by internal models and sensory feedback, shaped by past experience. But will the observed principles also apply to more complex real-life conditions, such as walking or driving? In the real world, multiple sensory and motor systems must be used in synergy in order to accurately estimate our motion and execute actions in an unpredictable environment. Do our response decisions anticipate the effects of self-motion? How do we evaluate body and world dynamics when coordinating actions with body motion? In recent years, several novel approaches have been employed to identify how real-world body motion is coordinated with action selection and goal-directed oculomotor and skeletomotor control. This session will inform NCM members about these findings, covering human behavior and imaging, neuronal recordings in monkeys, and computational modeling. MEDENDORP will start the session with a brief overview. Subsequently, he will discuss the mechanisms for effector selection when humans are in motion. He will show that accelerations of the body are taken into account when deciding which hand to use for a reach, or where to look with the eyes, by modulating activity in cortical areas involved in response selection. GREEN will explore the mechanisms by which vestibular signals are processed to compensate for body motion during reach execution. She will show that vestibular signals contributing directly to online reach control are appropriately transformed from a head- to a body-centered reference frame. She will also provide evidence that the mechanisms by which such transformed vestibular signals contribute to online feedback corrections involve “smart” processing, taking into account knowledge of the biomechanical properties of the limb. DE GROOTE will discuss standing and walking balance control in response to external perturbations. She uses a blended experimental and computational approach. First, she will show how sensory noise and postural sway may contribute to age-related alterations in the kinematic strategy to restore balance after a perturbation of standing. Next, she will discuss how muscle responses in perturbed walking are adjusted during the gait cycle to meet mechanical demands and how these responses change with age. GAIL will discuss spatial encoding of reach goals during walk-and-reach movements. He will show that peripersonal space is expanded towards reach targets beyond immediate reach when walking towards them. Next, he will present wireless neural recordings parietofrontal cortex of rhesus monkeys during walk-and-reach movements, suggesting equivalent encoding of reach goals within and beyond immediate reach in the same reach areas.
Team & Individual Oral Abstracts
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15:30 – 17:30  Session 4, Individual I

Generation of compound movements by motor cortex
Andrew Zimnik¹, Mark Churchland¹
¹Columbia University

Before voluntary movement, population activity within motor cortex establishes a preparatory state that is proposed to seed movement-generating dynamics. Yet this view derives largely from discrete movements; real-world movements are often executed within longer sequences. In such cases, does motor cortex simply prepare and execute each component movement sequentially? Or are movements prepared and executed holistically, as a single ‘chunk’? We trained a monkey to perform single reaches and sequences of two reaches and recorded from 218 motor cortex neurons. A delay period allowed for preparation between the onset of the target(s) and a go cue. Behavior was consistent with a holistic strategy: sequences completed rapidly (within ~500 ms) with almost no pause between reaches. Muscle activity evolved continuously with no intervening pause or plateau. We next asked whether, at the neural level, reaches were prepared and executed sequentially or holistically. We leveraged the recent finding that although preparation and execution-related activity overlap at the single-neuron level, they occupy orthogonal neural subspaces and can thus be cleanly segregated at the population level. Critically, this allows observation of preparation both before the first reach and (if present) during the sequence. The sequential hypothesis predicts that preparatory activity before the first of two reaches should resemble preparatory activity when generating that reach alone. Preparation should then re-occur just before the second movement (i.e., as the first movement is ending). In contrast, the holistic hypothesis predicts that the full compound movement is prepared during the delay; thus, preparatory activity before the first reach should differ from that when generating that reach alone. Furthermore, because preparation during the delay period pertains to the entire sequence, a second bout of preparation is unnecessary (just as it is unnecessary during normal discrete movements). Despite the seemingly holistic nature of behavior and muscle activity, neural activity obeyed the predictions of the sequential hypothesis. Delay-period preparatory activity reflected the location of the first target, regardless of the presence or direction of a second reach. Furthermore, preparatory activity diminished prior to the onset of the first reach, then reappeared. This reappearance occurred during the first reach then again diminished before the onset of the second reach. This reappearance was never observed for single reaches. These results demonstrate a surprising feature of motor cortex: even when performing simple, well-learned motor sequences, each movement is prepared and executed sequentially. Rapid sequencing was not achieved through a holistic strategy but rather by preparation for the next movement beginning as the previous movement was still underway. Computations related to sequence ‘chunking’ must therefore be performed upstream in more cognitive regions.

Transient grasp force signals in motor cortex during extended object interaction
Brian Dekleva¹, Jennifer Collinger¹
¹University of Pittsburgh

The most fundamental purpose of upper limb control is to interact with and manipulate objects. However, most studies on the cortical control of reach and grasp use experimental paradigms that examine only arm movement (e.g. cursor-based control) or grasp (e.g. isometric force production) in isolation. It is not clear how insights about cortical function gained from these tasks might apply to more complex behaviors involving extended manipulation and transport of objects. Supporting this concern about generalizability is a recent result showing that cortical signals related to grasp force are not present during the transport phase of an object “grasp and carry” task (Downey, et al., 2018). Here we extend the results of that study by examining the population activity of human primary motor cortex (M1) during simultaneous control of grasp force and arm translation. We recorded intracortical neural responses from both the hand and arm areas of M1 as a human subject with quadriplegia attempted to mimic various object-oriented tasks presented in a virtual environment. The range of tasks included: (1) applying different grasp forces to a stationary object, (2) transporting an object from one location to another at different grasp force levels, and (3) dynamically adjusting grasp force during object transport. In all conditions...
involving arm translation—regardless of grasp condition—we observed a consistent relationship between the neural activity and the direction of intended movement. That is, the signals related to arm translation were unaffected by features of grasp. Conversely, the signals related to grasp force were highly dependent on task context. During grasp of a stationary object, cortical signals showed direct correlation with the attempted grasp force at all times throughout object interaction (from pre-contact until object release). In contexts involving object transport, we observed the same grasp-related signals (i.e. the same neural patterns), but they were highly transient and displayed correlations with the intended grasp force only during periods of force change. Importantly, this transience did not arise due to interference between translation- and force-related signals; they occupied orthogonal dimensions in neural state space and could be modulated independently. Instead, our results suggest that cortex can recruit additional networks outside of M1 (perhaps subcortical structures) in order to sustain force production without requiring continuous descending drive. This apparent “automatic” maintenance of force production may be especially useful during complex behaviors that require split attention between multiple control modalities.

Contrasting roles of PMd and A5 to feedback responses to mechanical disturbances of the limb in non-human primates

Tomohiko Takei¹, Stephen Lomber², Douglas Cook³, Stephen Scott³

¹Kyoto University, ²Western University, ³Queen’s University

A hallmark of our motor system is the ability to generate a broad range of motor actions, and importantly, flexibly adjust these movements to manage changes or disturbances in our environment. Recent studies have explored this process by using small mechanical disturbances during motor actions, highlighting the surprising speed and complexity of goal-directed motor corrections. Corresponding neurophysiological studies implicate a broad network in fronto-parietal cortices in these feedback corrections, but the specific role of different cortical regions is poorly understood. Primary motor cortex is commonly recognized as important for feedback control, but far less is known on how other cortical regions contribute to these goal-directed motor corrections. Here we investigate the role of dorsal premotor cortex (PMd) and parietal area 5 (A5) in feedback control by exploring how deactivation in these cortical regions (i.e. cooling to inhibit neural activity) impact feedback control in non-human primates. To give functional implications to the behavioral results, we generated an optimal feedback control model to observe how deactivation of model parameters (i.e. reductions in values) impact feedback corrections to mechanical disturbances. Results show that deactivation of the “control policy” impaired both accuracy and response speed, whereas deactivation of “state estimation” impaired accuracy but not response speed. Next, we trained a rhesus monkey to perform a unilateral arm postural perturbation task, in which the monkey was required to maintain arm posture while responding to mechanical perturbations. Under normal conditions, the monkey made a quick and accurate response after each disturbance to return the hand to the spatial goal. When PMd was cooled the monkey displayed impairments in both accuracy and response speed. In contrast, when A5 was cooled the monkey displayed impairments in accuracy, but not response speed. These results provide causal support for the role of both regions in generating goal-directed motor corrections, and suggest that PMd and A5 have different functions in feedback control: contributions to the control policy and state estimation, respectively.
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**Dynamical influence of premotor and primary motor cortex during movement**  
Raina D’Aleo¹, Adam Rouse², Marc Schieber², Sridevi Sarma¹  
¹Johns Hopkins, ²University of Rochester  

Investigating what neurons in different motor regions encode during movement provides insight into the complex sensorimotor control system. While there are anatomical distinctions between premotor cortex (PM) and primary motor cortex (M1) attributed to their upstream and downstream pathways and cytoarchitecture, their functional distinction within the motor pathway is less clear as they have largely been studied independently using static correlations between kinematics and neural activity. These analysis methods have limited ability to interpret dynamical interaction and influence across these regions. A recent approach to studying neuronal activity during movement is to construct a dynamical systems model (DSM), wherein cortical activity is perceived as a trajectory through a state-space, initiated by a preparatory state (initial condition), where a movement in state-space represents a movement in physical space. This approach allows for the populations to influence each other in a dynamical manner, which in turn generate movement. To date, applications of DSM have been narrow in scope, characterizing activity in single regions and over brief time periods during which no abrupt events (e.g. movement onset) or stimuli (e.g. visual cue) occur. To capture long time periods, a different DSM is constructed in each time period that modulate with different external events (Elsayed, 2016). Here, we expand the DSM framework to allow for a single model to characterize neural dynamics (i) over the entire trial during which multiple events occur and (ii) between multiple brain regions. The multiple events are modeled as exogenous inputs entering the motor regions, PM and M1, whose firing rates are in general coupled and evolve dynamically to generate kinematic output. We apply our general DSM approach to neuronal data captured in two nonhuman primates executing a reach-to-grasp task and demonstrate the model’s ability to reconstruct population activity in both PM and M1 simultaneously in addition to kinematic trajectories. Evaluation of the evolution of the DSM solution allows us to estimate functionally distinct influence of each cortical region on the neural and kinematic state throughout a movement.

---

**Feedforward and feedback control share an internal model of the arm’s dynamics.**  
Rodrigo Maeda¹, Tyler Cluff², Paul Gribble¹, J. Andrew Pruszynski³  
¹Western University, ²University of Calgary  

The nervous system can generate a variety of novel movement patterns in many different contexts. Complicating this process are the mechanical interactions that arise between moving limb segments. For example, producing pure elbow movement requires generating torques not only at the elbow but also at the shoulder to counter interaction torques that arise at the shoulder when the forearm rotates [1]. Our long-term goal is to establish whether and how neurons in the primate sensorimotor system account for and learn intersegmental dynamics during reaching (i.e. feedforward) and reflexive (i.e. feedback) control. Here we present findings in humans and monkeys showing that learning novel intersegmental dynamics during feedforward control transfers to feedback control, which suggests that these two processes engage a common neural circuit [2]. Forty-five humans and two macaque monkeys generated pure elbow flexion and extension movements using a robotic exoskeleton (KINARM, BKIN Tech) that permits shoulder and elbow rotation in the horizontal plane. They first did so with the shoulder free to move (baseline phase). We then mechanically locked the shoulder joint and participants repeated the same pure elbow movements (adaptation phase). Locking the shoulder joint eliminates the interaction torques that arise at the shoulder during forearm rotation, removing the need to generate shoulder torque and thus activate shoulder muscles. Last, we unlocked the shoulder joint and participants again had to counter the intersegmental dynamics to generate pure elbow movements (post-adaptation phase). In fifteen percent of trials in all phases, we applied mechanical perturbations that caused pure elbow motion to evoke reflex responses. Importantly, all joints were free to move in perturbation trials such that participants only experienced the altered intersegmental dynamics in reaching trials. In the baseline and post-adaptation phases, we found robust activation of shoulder flexor muscles for pure elbow flexion trials and shoulder extensor muscles for pure elbow...

*Intracortical microstimulation parameters affect tactile perception*

Christopher Hughes¹, Sharlene Flesher², Jeffrey Weiss¹, Sliman Bensmaia³, Robert Gaunt¹

¹University Of Pittsburgh, ²Stanford University, ³University Of Chicago

Intracortical microstimulation (ICMS) in somatosensory cortex (S1) can restore tactile percepts in people with spinal cord injury (Flesher 2016). These artificial somatosensory percepts provide feedback in a bidirectional brain-computer interface (BCI) to restore natural integrative control of movement. We have found that with stimulus trains of fixed amplitude and frequency, the tactile percepts elicited by ICMS in S1 vary by electrode in intensity and quality but remain consistent over time. However, cortical activity varies spatiotemporally in response to peripheral mechanical inputs. Here, we sought to characterize how stimulus trains with different fixed frequencies and amplitudes, or trains that varied in frequency and amplitude dynamically, affected the intensity and naturalness of elicited sensations. Ultimately, the goal of this work is to produce sensations that are more naturalistic and improve the function of a bidirectional BCI system. A human participant with a C5/C6 spinal cord injury was implanted with two microelectrode arrays in area 1 of S1. Charge balanced, current controlled pulses evoked percepts spanning a range of qualities, from more naturalistic sensations such as touch and pressure to less naturalistic sensations such as tingle. To investigate the impact of stimulus parameters on perceived intensity, we first tested stimulus trains with different fixed amplitudes and frequencies in a magnitude estimation task. Stimulation was delivered through individual electrodes and the participant was asked to report the perceived intensity on a self-selected scale. The relationship between current amplitude and perceived intensity was found to be linear. Changing frequency had more complex effects on perceived intensity that were electrode specific; on some electrodes, higher frequencies resulted in a higher perceived intensity, on others, lower frequencies resulted in a higher perceived intensity, and still on others, intermediate frequencies evoked the highest intensity. Cortical activity evolves spatiotemporally in response to mechanical inputs. Therefore, we next examined how biomimetic stimulus trains, with amplitudes and frequencies designed from neural recordings in S1 of monkeys, affected perceived naturalness. Trains with biomimetic amplitudes, frequencies, or both were compared to trains with fixed frequencies and amplitudes in a 2AFC task. The participant was asked to select the train that felt more natural. We found that biomimetic frequency modulation only occasionally increased naturalness and had electrode specific effects. On the other hand, biomimetic amplitude modulation increased naturalness across a variety of electrodes. Frequency modulation is a limited method to modulate naturalness and intensity because frequency effects are electrode specific. Amplitude modulation, however, can reliably encode signal intensity changes and can increase the naturalness of percepts evoked via biomimetic microstimulation.
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08:00 – 10:00  Session 5, Panel III

Cerebellar computation 50 years after Marr-Albus: where are we now?
Terence Sanger¹, Mitsuo Kawato², Mackenzie Mathis³, Huu Hoang², Kazuo Kitamura⁴
¹University of Southern California, ²ATR Computational Neuroscience Laboratories, ³Harvard University, ⁴University of Yamanashi

50 years later, the theory of cerebellar function proposed by Marr and Albus remains one of the most influential and debated computational models, driving the field of cerebellar study and providing an important example of the role of computational neuroscience. The original theory was based on the striking expansion from approximation 250 million mossy fibers to 50 billion granule cells, followed by compression through 15 million Purkinje cells eventually to fewer than 8 million cells in the deep cerebellar nuclei. In this workshop, we will revisit the Marr-Albus computational structure, and we will discuss this structure and its limitations in the context of more recent experimental and theoretical results. An important component of the discussion is to evaluate computational structure with respect to the motor function that it serves. We will show evidence that the cerebellum may have a very different function for eye movement, balance, reaching, and cognition despite its relative homogeneity of structure. The first two talks will address recent structural and functional experiments in mice. Kazuo Kitamura will discuss the role of climbing fiber signals during limb movements in mice using two-photon imaging. His results show that climbing fiber inputs to Purkinje cells correlate with different aspects of limb movement, indicating that population activity of climbing fibers encode details of the actual movements. Mackenzie Mathis will discuss the cerebellum in the context of sensorimotor cortical function and how these areas affect motor adaptation differentially in mice. For example, during a forelimb motor adaptation task, inactivation of the forelimb S1 affects learning but not control, while manipulation of Purkinje neurons causes both control and learning deficits, suggesting that cerebellum supports both motor learning and execution. The last two talks will address more recent computational models of cerebellar function that expand upon the original functional mechanism proposed by Marr-Albus. Huu Hoang will address an important issue originally tackled by the Marr-Albus codon theory: how to reduce the degrees of freedom of a learning system in order to be able to learn from small samples. This work describes how neural synchronization dynamics can enable learning from a small training sample, and how the dynamics are actively controlled to adaptively change the degrees of freedom depending upon the stage of learning. Finally, Terry Sanger and Mitsuo Kawato will address the most extreme form of small-sample learning: one-shot learning. This was an original feature of the Marr-Albus model whose importance is often overlooked, and they will present a new analysis of the role of expansion recoding, in which the role of cerebellum is selective gating of sensory signals onto motor outputs to create stable dynamics for movement.

10:30 – 12:30  Session 6, Panel IV

New perspectives on the role of the superior colliculus in visually-guided motor behavior
Mayu Takahashi¹, John van Opstal², Neeraj Gandhi³, Ziad Hafed⁴
¹Tokyo Medical and Dental University, ²Radboud University Nijmegen, ³University of Pittsburgh, ⁴Tübingen University

The superior colliculus (SC) is a well-studied oculomotor control structure, containing an entire spectrum of significant contributions to, among others, visual sensation, gaze-shift commands, and all the way back to gaze-influenced visual modulations. This structure thus represents an ideal circuit model for investigating the remarkable robustness of visually-guided motor behavior in the brain. However, from the perspective of gaze control, the SC has often been reduced to a visual detection module in its superficial layers and a motor command module in its deeper layers. While generally true, such a model masks deeper questions about the nature and complexities of the computations performed by the SC. On the one hand, visual images in the real world are much richer than punctate spots of light often employed in the laboratory; on the other, each eye is manipulated by no less than 6 extra-ocular muscles requiring tight choreography to maintain well-known properties of eye movements like Listing’s law. We have identified novel perspectives on SC function that push the limits of simplified textbook descriptions. The speakers will cover a journey from sensation to action. Hafed
will explore SC visual functions when viewed from the ecological perspective of making saccades in naturalistic scene settings. He will show that saccade motor control by the SC starts, in a sense, with the very first visually-induced spikes, whose properties are consistent with the SC being optimally tuned for natural scene statistics. Gandhi will then use simultaneous recordings to chart the time-course of sensorimotor transformation and additionally employ causal manipulations to highlight the importance of temporal dynamics in SC population activity in determining “when” to initiate a saccade. This will set the stage for van Opstal to assess how the SC computes “what” movement to produce. He will present evidence for a spike-by-spike control of instantaneous kinematics of head-unrestrained gaze shifts. Finally, Takahashi will broaden the gaze shifts studied in this session further by investigating how different ocular behaviors (e.g. voluntary saccades versus vestibular ocular reflexes) are ultimately coordinated by the time that motor neuron commands are compiled. She will use novel anatomical circuit techniques, combined with electrophysiology, to dissect the mechanisms of Listing’s law, explaining how a problem with 12 degrees of freedom (2 eyes x 6 extra-ocular muscles) is ultimately reduced to 2 degrees for voluntary saccades. We will end by discussing how future studies motivated by the current ones will explain SC roles beyond motor control, such as in the varied cognitive phenomena associated with active behavior.

ANNs could broadly impact the field. This panel brings together four lines of research in which ANNs are solving previously intractable problems in motor neuroscience at a diversity of scales. We will present the use of ANNs to precisely model neuronal population dynamics in cortex, study how multiple cognitive tasks can be performed by the same network, perform pose estimation for precise quantification of animal behaviors, and facilitate automated movement classification and scoring in humans with potential applications to clinical diagnosis. Chethan Pandarinath will present the use of ANNs to uncover low-dimensional dynamics underlying neural population activity. He will show that, when applied to monkey motor cortical data, ANNs precisely estimate dynamics on single trials, yield accurate predictions of muscle activity, kinematics, and reaction times, infer perturbations to dynamics that correlate with behavior, and can combine data from multiple sessions (spanning months) to improve inference. Laura Driscoll will present an analysis of ANN models that were trained to perform multiple cognitive tasks. She will demonstrate how ANNs can be reverse engineered to develop an algorithmic understanding of multiple computations performed by a single dynamical system. Nidhi Seethapathi will present applications of ANNs for pose tracking and behavioral classification in humans. She will demonstrate pose tracking on in-the-wild videos to study fall predictions in the elderly, predict movement disorder risk in babies, and provide automatic feedback on exercise movements. She will also compare computer vision-based pose tracking to traditional motion capture for movement behavior tracking. Alexander Mathis will present work on behavioral analysis using markerless pose estimation, by leveraging fully convolutional ANNs and transfer learning to achieve excellent pose estimation performance with minimal training data. He will show results tracking various body parts in multiple species - from fruit flies to cheetahs in-the-wild - across a broad collection of behaviors. Finally, Andrew Pruszynski will help guide a stimulating panel discussion on challenges and opportunities in applying deep learning more broadly in motor neuroscience.
Precise spike-timing codes for vocal motor control
Andrea Pack¹, Bryce Chung¹, Muneeb Zia², Coen Elemans³, Muhannd Bakir², Samuel Sober¹
¹Emory University, ²Georgia Institute of Technology, ³University of Southern Denmark

A central question in neuroscience is to establish how the brain controls muscle activity and modifies muscle output during motor skill learning. Early theories of brain function relied solely on spike rate (rate code), the total number of action potentials within a time interval, to explain sensory processing and motor control. Eventually, researchers studying sensory coding discovered that millisecond-scale spike timing patterns (timing-based codes) were far more informative about sensory inputs than were spike rates (Strong et al., 1998). Despite the strong evidence for timing codes in sensory systems, however, studies of the motor system have examined neuronal activity almost entirely in terms of spike rate. In theory, neurons can encode information via rate, timing, or a combination of the two. Because the information capacity of rate code is considerably smaller than that of a timing code, rate codes may be insufficient for transmitting information about the control of precise skilled motor behaviors. Previously, our lab discovered that neurons in a songbird’s cortical vocal motor area (the robust nucleus of the arcopallium) encode significantly more information about upcoming vocal behavior by millisecond-scale spike timing patterns compared with spike rate (Tang et al., 2014). Although these results revealed that millisecond-scale differences in spike timing predict variations in behavior, we wanted to understand whether timing differences actually caused changes in motor output. To address this question, we recorded the activity of single motor units from expiratory muscles in songbirds during breathing behavior. Existing methods used to record electromyographic activity from muscles, such as surface and fine wire electrodes, typically cause injury at the implant site and are unable to record single motor unit activity from small, deep muscles. We therefore developed an innovative electrode system to record single motor unit activity from multiple small (2 mm x 4 mm) muscles simultaneously and used information-theoretic analyses to identify the time scales, ranging from spike rate to millisecond timing, at which spike activity is most informative about predicting trial-by-trial variations in song acoustics. With these novel recording and computational techniques, we have established that millisecond-time scale spike timing patterns control respiratory muscles. Moreover, we found that experimentally-induced variation in spike timing patterns significantly alter muscle force output and breathing behavior (Srivastava et al., 2017). Ongoing studies investigate how spike timing-based codes in muscles change during skill learning by recording single motor unit activity from multiple vocal muscles during song learning and production. Together, our results suggest that learning a complex skill such as birdsong might depend on the nervous system’s ability to organize precisely timed patterns of coordinated activity across neurons and motor units.

Motor variability predicts motor learning in complex real-world task
Shlomi Haar¹, Aldo Faisal¹
¹Imperial College London

Motor skill learning is a key feature of our development and our daily lives. Little is known about the behavioral and neural process of real-world motor skill learning, allegedly due to its complexity and the difficulty to measure and quantify it, in contrast to highly reductionistic controlled experiments. We demonstrate the feasibility of studying neuroscience in-the-wild: We use mobile brain and behavioral imaging to study real-world motor skill learning in a pool-table billiards paradigm. Billiards is a real-world task ideally suited to neurobehavioral study as motion tracking in terms of movement in space, the natural constraints of game play, and divisibility into trials, captures the style of reductionistic lab-based motor learning tasks. Naïve subjects, performed repeated trials where the cue ball and target ball were placed in the same locations, and subjects were asked to shoot the target ball towards the same pocket. During the entire learning process, we recorded the subjects’ full body movements with a ‘suit’ of inertial measurement units, and their brain activity with wireless EEG. The balls on the pool table were tracked to assess the outcome of each trial. The learning curve in this real-life paradigm (over the directional error of the target ball) was similar to those
reported in the reductionistic error-based learning studies. Also, individuals with higher task-relevant motor variability (variability in the directional error of the target ball) over the first block of trials had faster learning in this complex real-world task, as found in controlled lab experiments (Wu et al. 2014). Studying in-the-wild learning enable looking at global observables of motor learning, as well as relating learning to mechanisms deduced from reductionist models. The analysis of the velocity profiles of all joints enabled in depth understanding of the structure of learning across the body. First, while most of the movement was done by the right arm, the entire body learned the task, as evident by the decrease in both inter- and intra- trial variabilities of various joints across the body over learning. Second, while over learning all subjects decreased their movement variability and the variability in the outcome (ball direction), subjects who were initially more variable were also more variable after learning, supporting the notion that movement variability is an individual trait (Haar et al. 2017). Lastly, when exploring the link between variability and learning over joints we found that only the variability in the right elbow supination shows significant correlation to learning. This demonstrates the relation between learning and variability: while learning leads to overall reduction in movement variability, only initial variability in specific task-relevant dimensions can facilitate faster learning. Crucially we are enabling novel hypothesis driven experimental approaches to study behavior where it matters most - in real life settings.

Motor expertise promotes transfer of visuo-motor compensations acquired during prism exposure
Lisa Fleury¹, Damien Pastor¹, Patrice Revol¹, Ludovic Delporte¹, Yves Rossetti¹
¹Centre of Research in Neurosciences of Lyon

The capacity to generalize motor transformations acquired in a specific context to new situations and the sensorimotor plasticity processes underlying this aptitude is a challenging topic in the field of motor control and has strong implications for rehabilitation. In this context, prism exposure is the most classic paradigm used to investigate the short-term plasticity set up to face perturbations. In the present study, we aimed at comparing the cross-transfer of visuo-motor compensations acquired during a 10° optical shift (prism exposure) between a throwing and a pointing task. In the first experiment, we recruited 24 healthy subjects randomly affected to two groups. Participants in the throwing group were exposed to the prismatic deviation while performing throwing movements and were tested for transfer to the pointing task. Conversely, participants in the pointing group performed pointing movements during prism exposure and were tested for transfer to the throwing task. Error reduction during prism exposure and after-effects on the exposed task were similar in the two groups. However our results showed a unidirectional transfer of after-effects from pointing to throwing but not from throwing to pointing. We also noted that variability of movement accuracy was greater for throwing than for pointing. Thus, we speculated that the lower degree of expertise for throwing may explain this unidirectional transfer. In order to test for this hypothesis, we recruited 6 national-level dart players as throwing experts (experiment 2). They followed the same procedures as the previous throwing group. Crucially, throwing Experts were remarkably able to transfer compensations from throwing to pointing, suggesting that expertise in the exposed task has a marked influence in the capacity to generalize motor transformations to new situations. Finally, we explored the physiological mechanisms of transfer by investigating temporal dynamic of early compensations and kinematics of pointing movements (experiment 3). We recruited 20 novel healthy participants to constitute 2 other groups. They followed the same procedures except that we introduced early after-effects measures after the ten first trials of exposure. This experiment fully replicated experiment 1. In addition, after-effects were considerably less stable in the throwing group. Besides, kinematics allowed us to note that the throwing group showed some transfer from throwing to pointing only in the initial and intermediate phase of pointing trajectories but not during the terminal phase. This result suggests that expertise in a given motor task may be associated with changes in proprioceptive modality. To conclude, this study highlights the likely relationship between expertise and true adaptation that gives rise to transfer. These findings lead to a better understanding of the conditions needed to elicit context-independent transformations which might be crucial in neuro-rehabilitation of motor functions.
Global disinhibition as a key mechanism for the recovery of hand functions after spinal cord injury

Tadashi Isa¹, Reona Yamaguchi¹, Toshinari Kawasaki¹, Satoko Ueno¹, Masahiro Mitsuhashi¹, Zenas Chao¹
¹Kyoto University

Spinal cord injury (SCI) causes long-term devastating loss of physical functions in the patients, and therefore, elucidating the neuronal mechanism of recovery from SCI, which would contribute to development of better therapeutic strategies, is eagerly expected. We have previously shown that the activity of the ipsilesional motor cortex is enhanced and contribute to the hand movement control during the early recovery period in a partial spinal cord injury model of macaque monkeys (Nishimura et al. Science, 2007). More recently, we have found that the Granger causality from the contralesional premotor cortex to the ipsilesional premotor/primary motor cortices (PM/M1) is enhanced during that period (Chao et al. Cereb Cortex, 2018), which suggests the enhanced activity of the ipsilesional PM/M1 could be induced by the contralesional counterpart. To further understand the contribution of ipsilesional PM/M1 to recovery, we chronically implanted the multi-channel ECoG electrodes in the bilateral PM/M1/S1 and multiple EMG electrodes in the forelimb muscles in the macaque monkey. We longitudinally monitored the cortical and muscle activity during a reach and grasp task, and used direct electrical stimulation to probe the cortical connectivity before and after the sub-hemisection of the mid-cervical spinal cord (C4-C5). In this lesion model, the impairment of hand movements was more severe than the lateral funiculus-specific lesion model used in our previous studies. In the previous model, the precision grip mostly recovered in 1-3 months after the lesion, but in the current model, the recovery stopped at the coarse power grip and the precision grip did not fully recover. First, the results indicated that the high-gamma activity of the ipsilesional PM/M1 during the reach and grasp movements was enhanced after the lesion. The enhancement occurred first in the more rostral aspect of the PM but the locus gradually shifted caudally to the M1 as the recovery progressed. Along with the enhancement of the movement-related activity, the effect of a train stimulation (at 3 mA, 3 shocks at 20 Hz) through each of the ECoG electrodes on the ipsilesional PM/M1 induced muscle twitches widely in digits, hand, wrist, elbow and shoulder of both the affected and unaffected forelimbs, back and even in bilateral hindlimb muscles which suggested disinhibition occurred widely in networks associated with the ipsilesional PM/M1. In addition, in the affected forelimb, the muscle twitch responses induced by stimulation of the ipsilesional PM/M1 could be enhanced by a conditioning stimulus given to the contralesional PM/M1. This suggested a facilitatory interaction between the bilateral PM/M1. All these results suggested that global disinhibition facilitated signal transmission from the contralesional PM/M1 to the ipsilesional PM/M1, which might have resulted in the enhanced movement-related activity of the ipsilesional PM/M1. Furthermore, disinhibition also occurred in the down

Dynamic sonomyographic imaging of the residual musculature provides proportional control for upper-extremity amputees

Wilsaan Joiner¹, Ananya Dhawan¹, Biswarup Mukherjee¹, Shriniwas Patwardhan¹, Nima Akhlaghi¹, Gyorgy Levay², Michelle Harris-Love¹, Siddhartha Sikdar¹
¹George Mason University, ²Infinite Biomedical Technologies

Amputees often cite difficulty of use as a key contributing factor for abandoning their prosthesis, creating a pressing need for an improved control methodology. A major challenge of using traditional surface electromyography electrodes has been the difficulty in achieving intuitive and robust proportional control of multiple degrees of freedom. To address this problem, our group is refining a control method (sonomyographic control) that overcomes several limitations of myoelectric control. In sonomyography, muscle mechanical deformations are sensed using ultrasound, as compared to electrical activation, and therefore the resulting control signals can directly control the position of the end effector. Compared to myoelectric signals which control end-effector velocity, sonomyographic control is more congruent with the remaining proprioception within the residual limb. In addition, ultrasound imaging can non-invasively resolve individual muscles, including those deep inside the tissue, and detect dynamic activity within different functional compartments in real-time. This alignment between activation and proprioception, as well as the
ability to distinguish small, but significant differences in deep muscle tissue activations (e.g., different grasp patterns) may collectively provide more intuitive control signals for prosthetic devices. We tested our control method with 5 upper-extremity amputees (4 traumatic and 1 congenital) and 5 able-bodied subjects. In the task, dynamic ultrasound images measured contracting muscle deformations during actual motions of the limb, or approximated motions in the residuum (e.g., power grasp). This signal was used to move a screen cursor to a series of targets, and then hold the cursor at that location within a quantization bound. Performance metrics consisted of position error (the mean error between the cursor and the target position), stability error (the standard deviation of the cursor position from the target position), task completion rate and movement time. Both traumatic and congenital amputee subjects with no prior experience of using a sonomyography-based interface were able to demonstrate fine graded control of an end-effector controlled by muscle activation patterns in the remaining forearm. Specifically, position and stability errors for all subjects were below 3.5% and 12.1%, respectively. In addition, consistent with Fitts’s law, movement time was significantly correlated and increased with task difficulty (i.e., the required movement distance) for all participants (R > 0.85). Finally, the average task completion rate was higher than 94% for the 11 graded target locations tested. Together, our results demonstrate the potential of using sonomyographic signals for intuitive dexterous control of multiarticulated prostheses. Beyond the control of prosthetic hands, sonomyographic signals may have potential clinical applications including fundamental investigations of motor control and biomechanics.

**Neural basis of location-specific pupil luminance modulation**

Doug Munoz¹, Chin-An (Josh) Wang¹,²

¹Queen’s University, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei Medical University

The pupil regulates the amount of light entering the eyes to optimize visual sensitivity and sharpness. The visual system selects objects of interest for future fixation, and pupil size can be adjusted for object luminance prior to fixation. Spatial attention enables us to focus visual processing towards specific locations or stimuli prior to the next fixation. Recent evidence has suggested that local luminance at the spatial locus of attention or saccade preparation influences pupil size. Specifically, the pupil will constrict prior to an eye movement to a bright stimulus and dilate prior to an eye movement to a dark stimulus. Importantly, this modulation of pupil size occurs independent of global luminance levels. However, it remains to be determined which neural pathways produce this location specific modulation of pupil size. The intermediate layers of the midbrain superior colliculus (SCi) form part of the network of brain areas involved in both spatial attention and modulation of pupil size. In this study we manipulated SCI excitation via microstimulation or injection of lidocaine. Pupil size was altered according to local luminance level at the spatial location corresponding to a microstimulated location in the SCI map of monkeys. Moreover, local SCI inactivation through injection of lidocaine reversed this local luminance modulation. Our findings reveal a causal role of the SCI in preparing pupil size for local luminance conditions at the next saccadic goal. In conclusion, there is a part of the orienting circuit responsible for fine adjustments of pupil size independent of luminance and the SCI plays a key role in modulating this effect. Supported by CIHR.
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10:30 – 12:30  Session 10, Panel VI

Multi-dimensional dexterous hand function and recovery
Jing Xu¹, Marco Santello², Firas Mawase³, Shinichi Furuya⁴
¹Johns Hopkins University, ²Arizona State University, ³Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, ⁴Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc., Sophia University

Sensorimotor hand function spans a multidimensional space where biomechanical, neural, and cognitive factors interact to enable a rich repertoire of actions. Its complexity, with high dimensionality and variety of tasks, presents the major challenge in studying motor control and learning principles in manual skills. Traditionally, the synergy approach has been successful to study neural control of movement. How to use this approach in understanding control and learning principles in dexterous hand function is still facing various challenges. Moreover, dexterous hand function presents a large spectrum of manual capacity in different populations and expertise, and it can be the most challenging component to rehabilitate once injured. Here we present four different perspectives and approaches in tackling some of the most challenging issues. Marco Santello will focus on dexterous object manipulation and review insights obtained from research on control of the hand’s multiple degrees of freedom, interaction between feedback and feedforward force control mechanisms, and neural constraints on learning and transfer of dexterous manipulation. This will be discussed in the context of clinical applications with emphasis on prosthetics and non-invasive neuromodulation. Jing Xu will present her work on independent control of fingers. She will first talk about the finding from a longitudinal stroke recovery study that separate biological systems support strength and individuation recovery. She will then present preliminary results from a new device and paradigm to capture independent movement at each finger joint in 3D, highlighting the superior independence of thumb and index finger, hallmark of the human hand dexterity. Firas Mawase will show how training on a novel motor skill might help improve finger dexterity in chronic stroke. He will present promising results on training stroke patients on a chording task to break their abnormal flexion synergies at the chronic stage, especially improving movement quality, as opposed to task performance, that allows true generalization to motor hand functions. He will also discuss neural circuits that might underlie the improvement in dexterous hand function. Shinichi Furuya will present acquisition, degradation, and restoration of finger dexterity in musicians, covering three issues: neuromuscular adaptation underlying acquisition of finger dexterity, loss of it in focal dystonia, and its restoration through neuro-rehabilitation using motor retraining combined with tDCS. He will focus on changes through these three stages, highlighting neuromuscular attributes of individual differences in finger motor dexterity, to what extent the neural and anatomical characteristics accounts for differences in independent control of movements. Lastly, Marc Schieber will energize a 20-minutes discussion on challenges in studying dexterous hand function, possible universal principles across domains, and key factors in rehabilitation.

Saturday, April 27, 2019

08:00 – 10:00  Session 12, Panel VII

Beyond motor errors: New perspectives on the role of the cerebellum in learning
Richard Ivry¹, Court Hull², Mark Wagner³, Amanda Therrien⁴, Samuel McDougle⁵
¹University of California, ²Duke University Medical School, ³Stanford, ⁴The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, ⁵University of California, Berkeley

Computational theories of the cerebellum have focused on the idea that the anatomy and physiology of this subcortical structure is ideal for error-based learning. While this hypothesis has received substantial support in studies of simple motor behaviors (e.g., eye blink conditioning, sensorimotor adaptation), there are an increasing number of problematic findings. Physiological studies have identified situations in which climbing fiber activity, the putative source of error representation, is better characterized as signaling salient events or reward information, rather than errors. Other lines of evidence have linked cerebellar function to reward-based learning and more generally, to a role in decision making. These developments can be seen as part of a general paradigm shift in terms of our understanding of the functional
domain of the cerebellum. The goal of this workshop is to share these exciting new directions in cerebellar research with the NCM community. Four young investigators, using a range of physiological, computational, and behavioral methods, will present their work, asking how we need to rethink traditional perspectives on the role of the cerebellum in learning. Court Hull will present results from two different behavioral tasks in the mouse that suggest that climbing fiber activity can be seen as reporting and evaluating predicted task outcomes, rather than the classical conception that they signal motor errors. These results indicate that climbing fibers can provide predictive instructional signals that are not driven exclusively by motor errors. Mark Wagner is studying interactions between the frontal cortex and the cerebellum, using two-photon calcium imaging as mice learn a skilled, motivated behavior. Over the course of learning, initially dissimilar cortical and cerebellar ensembles become more correlated, with shared dynamics that extends over trial epochs from movement planning to reward processing. Skilled performance increases large-scale synchronization and task-locked complex spiking, suggesting a role in circuit coordination and timing, rather than error signaling. Amanda Therrien will report behavioral studies involving individuals with cerebellar degeneration. Her studies entail manipulations in which learning can be driven by either error signals or reward signals. The results indicate that reinforcement motor learning remains largely intact, but can be less efficient due to an increase in variability that cannot be estimated (or corrected for) by the motor system. Sam McDougle will discuss psychophysical results, asking about the interaction of different learning systems during motor learning. While this work has provided additional support for the role of the cerebellum in error-based learning, the results also point to a cerebellar contribution in other aspects of learning, including processes associated with higher level cognitive functions required for flexible action selection.

10:30 – 12:30  Session 13, Individual III

Encoding and control of motor prediction and feedback in the cerebellar cortex
Martha Streng¹, Laurentiu Popa¹, Timothy Ebner¹
¹University of Minnesota

Extensive research implicates the cerebellum as a forward internal model that predicts the sensory consequences of motor commands and compares them to their actual feedback, generating prediction errors that guide motor learning. However, lacking is a characterization of how information relevant to motor control and sensory prediction error is processed by cerebellar neurons. Of major interest is the contribution of Purkinje cells, the primary output neurons of the cerebellar cortex, and their two activity modalities: simple and complex spike discharges. The dominant hypothesis is that complex spikes serve as the sole error signal in the cerebellar cortex. However, no current hypotheses fully explain or are completely consistent with the spectrum of previous experimental observations. To address these major issues, Purkinje cell activity was recorded during a pseudo-random manual tracking task requiring the continuous monitoring and correction for errors. The first hypothesis tested was whether climbing fiber discharge controls the information present in the simple spike firing. During tracking, complex spikes trigger robust and rapid changes in the simple spike modulation with limb kinematics and performance errors. Moreover, control of performance error information by climbing fiber discharge is followed by improved tracking performance, suggesting that it is highly important for optimizing behavior. A second hypothesis tested was whether climbing fiber discharge is evoked by errors in movement. Instead, complex spikes are modulated predictively with behavior. Additionally, complex spikes are not evoked as a result of a specific ‘event’ as has been previously suggested. Together, this suggests a novel function of complex spikes, in which climbing fibers continuously optimize the information in the simple spike firing in advance of changes in behavior. A third hypothesis tested is whether the simple spike discharge is responsible for encoding the sensory prediction errors crucial for online motor control. To address this, two novel manipulations of visual feedback during pseudo-random tracking were implemented to assess whether disrupting sensory information pertinent to motor error prediction and feedback modulates simple spike activity. During these manipulations, the simple spike modulation with behavior is consistent with the predictive and feedback components of sensory prediction error. Together, these results address a major outstanding question in the field of cerebellar physiology and develop a novel hypothesis about the interaction between the two activity modalities of Purkinje cells.
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Spatial and temporal locomotor learning in the mouse cerebellum
Dana Darmohray¹, Jovin Jacobs¹, Hugo Marques¹, Megan Carey¹
¹Champalimaud Neuroscience Programme

Stable and efficient locomotion requires the precise coordination of movement across the limbs and body. Learned changes in interlimb coordination can be induced by exposure to a split-belt treadmill that imposes different speeds under each side of the body. Here we demonstrate locomotor learning on a split-belt treadmill in mice. Mouse locomotor adaptation is specific to measures of interlimb coordination, has spatial and temporal components that adapt at different rates, and is context-specific. The many similarities between human and mouse locomotor adaptation suggest that this form of locomotor learning is highly conserved across vertebrates. Using a variety of approaches, we demonstrate that split-belt adaptation in mice specifically depends on intermediate cerebellum, but is insensitive to large lesions of cerebral cortex. Finally, cell-type specific chemogenetics combined with quantitative behavioral analysis reveal that spatial and temporal components of locomotor adaptation are dissociable on the circuit level.

Implicit adaptation is driven by inverse model learning, not forward model learning
Alkis Hadjiosif¹, John Krakauer¹, Adrian Haith¹
¹Johns Hopkins University

It is widely thought that the implicit adaptation of motor commands in response to errors occurs through updating an internal forward model which predicts the consequences of motor commands, which in turn informs action selection. It has alternatively been suggested, however, that adaptation might occur by using errors to directly update an underlying controller - often referred to as an inverse model. Here we use a mirror reversal task to dissociate between these two options and find that, counter to prevailing views, implicit adaptation is driven by inverse-model learning. The heart of the distinction between learning via forward and inverse models is that errors are known in sensory, not motor, coordinates. This is not a problem for forward model learning, since observed errors directly reflect errors in the forward model's output, enabling learning with no further assumptions. Thus, error can update the model under any imposed perturbation - be it a shifted visuomotor map (e.g. rotation), or a flipped one (e.g. mirror reversal). The inverse model's output, however, is in motor coordinates; thus, inverse model learning additionally needs to make an assumption about how changes in motor output relate to changes in sensory outcome - e.g. that leftward errors are reduced by shifting motor output rightward. This approach suffices for perturbations such as a rotation. Under mirror reversal, however, this approach fails: a rightwards adjustment to a leftwards error actually increases the error - the same update rules that normally enable adaptation to a rotation make the motor output drift further and further away from the target. We experimentally probed implicit adaptation under a mirror reversal to distinguish between these two types of learning. Participants (N=12) reached through 4 targets arranged along the cardinal directions. After baseline, we introduced a mirror reversal of the cursor across the y-axis. Participants were briefed on the perturbation and, to isolate implicit adaptation, were told to aim their hand, rather than the cursor, through the target - i.e. avoid any explicit re-aiming strategies, in spite of cursor errors. We found that participants consistently drifted away from the targets along the mirroring axis: small initial errors were amplified through implicit adaptation, consistent with our predictions for inverse-model-based learning, but not forward-model-based learning. Removal of visual feedback revealed clear aftereffects - the hallmark of implicit adaptation. This pattern was reproduced in two additional groups, one that allowed re-aiming, and another that tested implicit adaptation to targets in oblique directions relative to the mirroring axis. Thus, contrary to commonly accepted theories, implicit adaptation does not occur through updating a forward model but instead occurs through directly updating an inverse model. Further work will be needed to examine how updates to these two models interact during adaptation.
Somatosensory cortex participates in the consolidation of human motor memory
Neeraj Kumar¹, Timothy Manning², David Ostry³
¹McGill University and Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar; ²McGill University; ³McGill University and Haskins Laboratories

Behavioral studies have shown that newly learned motor skills are initially labile but are rapidly consolidated into a more stable state. The circuits which enable the consolidation of motor memories remain uncertain with most work to date focusing on primary motor cortex (M1). Here, by using transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to block consolidation, we report the first direct evidence that plasticity in somatosensory cortex is involved in the consolidation of motor memory. The task involved force-field adaptation in which participants made movements to targets while a robot applied forces to the hand to alter somatosensory feedback. Immediately following adaptation, continuous theta-burst transcranial magnetic stimulation (cTBS) was delivered to block consolidation, then, following a 24-hour delay which would normally permit consolidation, we assessed whether there was an impairment in retention. It was found that when mechanical loads were introduced gradually to engage implicit learning processes, suppression of somatosensory cortex following training eliminated retention entirely. In contrast, cTBS to primary motor cortex following learning had no effect on retention at all; retention following cTBS to motor cortex was not different than following sham TMS stimulation. We confirmed that cTBS to somatosensory cortex blocked motor memory consolidation and that the observed loss of retention was not due to an inability to retrieve a consolidated motor memory. Specifically, retention was unaffected, which rules out a memory retrieval failure, when cTBS was delivered to somatosensory cortex after memory consolidation (24 hours after learning). In conclusion, somatosensory cortex rather than motor cortex is involved in the consolidation of motor memory.

Underlying mechanisms of reward-based motor learning
Peter Holland¹, Olivier Codol¹, Joseph Galea¹
¹University of Birmingham

The addition of rewarding feedback to motor learning tasks has been shown to increase the retention of learning, spurring interest in the possible utility for rehabilitation. However, the systems underlying this form of motor learning are poorly defined, especially in regards to the implicit or explicit nature of the learning. Initial investigations of reward-based feedback in motor learning demonstrated that small visuomotor rotations could be learnt. However, it remained unclear whether participants could compensate for larger rotations, and if learning occurred via explicit or implicit processes. To address this, subjects (n=30) made reaching movements towards a target with feedback restricted to a green tick when they successfully hit the target (reward-based feedback). Gradually the angle for a successful reach was rotated 25°. Only 66% of subjects showed successful reward-based motor learning. The remaining subjects initially followed the rotation but began to reach at an insufficient angle and returned to near baseline performance. Importantly, those that were successful accomplished this largely via explicit processes. Additionally, subjects who failed to learn showed decreased sensitivity to errors, a pattern previously found in Parkinsonian patients. In a second experiment, the addition of a secondary mental rotation task abolished learning (n=10), providing further evidence for the dependence on explicit mechanisms. Furthermore, disruption of learning by a dual-task was observed in a larger cohort (n=80) even when a closed-loop paradigm was employed. We sought to explain the large inter-individual variability by examining genetic and working memory-based predictors of performance. In a large (n=121) pre-registered experiment, we replicated the findings of the initial study, including the proportion of subjects who could not complete the task (26%). In contrast to decision-making literature, in which individual variations in dopaminergic genes predict various aspects of performance, we found no genetic predictors of reward-based motor learning. However, greater spatial working memory was predictive of improved motor learning. This was due to improved spatial working memory enabling participants to repeat rewarded actions more accurately.
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Also, this relationship held true for a second group of subjects (n=120) performing a related reward-based motor learning task. However, we found no predictors of individual’s sensitivity to errors, another important driver of task success. Finally, using EEG to probe the neural response to errors during learning we found a highly reliable response in both ERPs and the theta frequency band (n=20). In summary, in a series of experiments employing reward-based feedback we provide strong evidence that a large component of this form of learning is under explicit control, is susceptible to disruption by dividing cognitive load, and individual aptitude is predicted by spatial working memory capacity.

Sleep’s benefit on multiple forms of locomotor learning
Julia Choi¹, Rebecca Spencer¹, Gabriela Borin¹
¹University of Massachusetts Amherst

Sleep’s benefit on motor learning has been demonstrated by greater performance changes over an interval with sleep (e.g., 8pm-8am) compared to an equivalent interval awake (8am-8pm). Off-line performance gains reflect memory consolidation, a process by which memories are strengthened and stabilized after the end of practice. However, sleep-dependent consolidation is not limited to the enhancement of memories exactly as it was learning; sleep also supports memory integration that is thought to underlie the generalization of skills. Here we tested the effects of sleep on transfer and relearning of complex locomotor skills. In the first experiment, we used a sequence learning task to determine whether transfer of locomotor learning involves memory integration processes that require time spent awake or asleep. Subjects practiced a sequence of step lengths based on visual cues in forward walking, and subsequently tested either with an untrained gait pattern (backward walking) using the same visual cues to probe transfer in the perceptual domain, or with the same gait pattern using different visual cues (inverted screen) to probe transfer in the motor domain. Transfer was assessed immediately (immediate transfer), and again 12-hr later (delayed transfer) following overnight sleep or daytime wake. We found minimal immediate transfer in the perceptual domain; however, the backward pattern improved by about 10% following a 12-hr interval with sleep compared to only 1% following a 12-hr interval awake, suggesting that sleep plays a role in the delayed generalization of perceptual learning. Transfer in the motor domain improved to the same extent over 12-hr interval with sleep or awake, indicating that time-dependent processes underlie delayed generalization of motor learning. In the second experiment, we used a split-belt adaptation task to determine whether sleep accelerates relearning (i.e., savings) indicative of higher learning processes (i.e., learning to learn). Subjects performed split-belt treadmill walking at a 2:1 speed ratio, where spatial and temporal gait parameters were gradually recalibrated over 15 mins of training. Relearning of the 2:1 split-belt walking pattern was assessed immediately (immediate savings), and again 12-hr later (delayed savings) following sleep or wake. Subjects in the sleep group showed more delayed savings in step length symmetry compared to those in the wake group, demonstrating a benefit of sleep on spatial locomotor adaptation. Temporal locomotor adaptations did not show immediate savings, nor delayed savings after 12-hr interval with or without sleep. In sum, we showed that sleep-dependent consolidation of locomotor memories plays a role in the generalization of locomotor learning. The consolidation of complex locomotor skills entails parallel and distinct processes for motor and perceptual learning, as well as spatial and temporal control of gait, some of which preferentially or exclusively operates during sleep.

Complex material properties of muscle: artifacts or features?
Madhusudhan Venkadesan¹, Neville Hogan², Kiisa Nishikawa³, Lena Ting⁴
¹Yale University, ²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, ³Northern Arizona University, ⁴Emory University & Georgia Tech

The control of movement in animals relies on muscle’s response to neural excitation and external perturbations. Are the complex mechanical properties of muscle relevant to motor control, or are they scientific curiosities that may be peripheral to controlling movement? Here we present four approaches, spanning length scales from the whole muscle to single proteins and timescales from minutes to milliseconds, to highlight how these complex material
properties of muscle may contribute to the adept motor skills of animals.

Robots face many of the same challenges that animals face and an important one is of stably negotiating interactions with the environment. Impedance control is the mainstay of many robots today for controlling interactions and draws inspiration from muscle's tunable viscoelastic response. Hogan will discuss the variety of ways that muscle helps the motor control of movement and interaction, and elucidate the role of active muscle's viscoelasticity in these tasks. These results show the critical role played by the nervous system's ability to modulate the response of muscle to perturbations.

Depending on the neural drive, muscle behaves like a solid to stiffen a joint or fluid-like to undergo rapid stretch with little resistance. Venkadesan will analyze this apparent fluid-to-solid transition using theories based on A. Huxley's model of a sarcomere. This analysis of the collective dynamics of actomyosin crossbridges finds that the fluid-to-solid transition should be independent of the level of activation of the sarcomere, although that is not the case in animals. These results show a gap in our understanding of muscle as an active viscoelastic material.

Until recently, sarcomeric elements that are not part of the actomyosin apparatus were considered peripheral to its force production ability. However, the giant protein titin that tethers the thick and thin filaments is now recognized as playing an important role through its sensitivity to Ca2+, i.e., to neural excitation. Nishikawa will discuss recent findings, based on studies from single molecule interactions to locomotor biomechanics and the response to sudden perturbations, on how titin may be involved in the viscoelastic response of the sarcomere and its fluid-to-solid transition.

Ting will discuss the functional role of muscle thixotropy in postural control and movement. Based on a two-state cross-bridge model and isolated skinned muscle fiber experiments her work demonstrates that the solid-to-fluid transition is dependent upon the Ca2+ concentration. Stretches in the range of normal postural sway maintain the short-range stiffness and elevated muscle spindle firing response that are both critical for reactive balance control. Stretches in the range of abnormal postural sway eliminate the short-range stiffness and the muscle spindle response, which reduce both the intrinsic, reflexive, and balance-correcting muscle forces needed to maintain balance.
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Evolving perspectives on the cortical control of reaching movements - Random observations and recollections from a reasonably OK career

John Francis Kalaska, Université de Montréal

Since the 1960s, neural recording studies of the cortical mechanisms of voluntary motor control have seen many technical and conceptual advances, from single-electrode recordings, restricted single-joint tasks and transcortical servo-control feedback loop models, to simultaneous multi-neuronal recordings, dimension-reduction algorithms, more naturalistic tasks and optimal-feedback and dynamical-network models. Through it all, the one constant has been the basic validity of the empirical data collected during experiments, irrespective of their initial motivation and interpretation. Those findings need to be reassessed but should not be dismissed. Rather than only dwelling on the past, I also plan to present new data showing that dorsal premotor (PMd) cortex neurons are implicated predominantly in the action-related aspects of a visual perceptual decision-making task before movement onset. After movement onset, the activity of many PMd neurons continues to reflect not only the chosen action but also the strength of evidence on which the decision was made and the associated likelihood of a successful outcome ("metacognition").
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<td>1-B-38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoi, Atsushi</td>
<td>2-D-51, 2-F-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama, Hikaru</td>
<td>2-C-41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshida, Masatoshi</td>
<td>1-A-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimoto, Takaaki</td>
<td>1-F-80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimura, Natsue</td>
<td>1-D-54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimura, Yusei</td>
<td>1-A-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshizawa, Hiroshi</td>
<td>1-F-88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeghbib, Abdelhafid</td>
<td>1-F-84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenzeri, Jacopo</td>
<td>1-B-23, 1-C-40, 1-F-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang, Yajie</td>
<td>2-D-59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng, He-Xin</td>
<td>2-F-81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zobeiri, Omid A</td>
<td>2-A-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Society for the Neural Control of Movement is pleased to present a wide range of current research through the poster sessions. The posters have been divided over two sessions, each on display for two days.

SESSION 1
Wednesday April 24  08:00 - 17:30
Thursday April 25   08:00 - 17:30

Session 2
Friday April 26  08:00 - 15:00
Saturday April 27  08:00 - 15:00

The poster numbers are divided first by session, then by theme and finally with a unique number.

Session - Theme - Board Number (Ex. 1-A-1)

THEMES
A - Control of Eye & Head Movement
B - Fundamentals of Motor Control
C - Posture and Gait
D - Integrative Control of Movement
E - Disorders of Motor Control
F - Adaptation & Plasticity in Motor Control
G - Theoretical & Computational Motor Control

POSTER SESSION 1

Wednesday April, 24 & Thursday April 25

Posters are listed by theme. All posters will be located on the 2nd Floor

A – Control of Eye & Head Movement

Poster Cluster (1-A-1 & 1-D-2)

1-A-1  Microsaccades in blindsight monkeys
Masatoshi Yoshida¹, Ziad Hafed²
¹ National Institute for Physiological Sciences, ²Tübingen University

1-D-2  Exploring microsaccade-induced visual reaferent responses in macaque superior colliculus neurons
Fatemeh Khadem³, Ziad Hafed³, Chih-Yang Chen³
³UKT, ²Tübingen University, ³Kyoto University

1-A-3  cTBS increases narrow-band gamma bursts and theta-band phase synchronization in the contralateral prefrontal cortex in a primate model of rTMS
Brian Cornel³, Thilo Womelsdorf², Sebastian Lehmann¹
¹Western University, ²Vanderbilt

1-A-4  Visual accuracy constraints do not influence saccade kinematics
Jeroen Goossens⁴, Leslie Guadron¹
¹Donders Institute for Brain Cognition and Behaviour

1-A-5  Encoding and decoding strategies of natural self-motion in the vestibular pathway
Isabelle Mackrout¹, Jerome Carriot¹, Graham McAllister¹, Hammad Hooshangnejad¹, Kathleen Cullen², Maurice Chacron¹
¹McGill University, ²John Hopkins University

1-A-6  Spatiotemporal tuning of ocular following response can be acquired by statistical machine learning of visual images during daily self-movements
Daiki Nakamura¹, Hiroaki Gomi¹
¹NTT Communication Science Laboratories

1-A-7  Neural substrate for coordinated and uncoordinated pupil and saccade responses
Chin-An Wang¹, Doug Munoz²
¹Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei Medical University, ²Queen’s University

1-A-8  The effect of different visual conditions on gaze behaviors during a ball-catching task
Yusei Yoshimura¹, Keisuke Iwama¹, Tomohiro Kizuka¹, Seiji Ono¹
¹University of Tsukuba

B – Fundamentals of Motor Control

Poster Cluster (1-B-9 to 1-B-11)

1-B-9  Retinal eccentricity modulates visuomotor feedback gains along the movement
Justinas Cesonis¹, David Franklin¹
¹Technical University of Munich

1-B-10  Control of visually guided reaching: Can the sensorimotor control system independently modulate feedback from the hand and target?
David Franklin¹, Michael Dimitriou², Sae Franklin¹
¹Technical University of Munich, ²Umeå University

1-B-11  Visual error and internal model uncertainty contributes to visuomotor feedback gains
Sae Franklin¹, David Franklin¹
¹Technical University of Munich

1-B-12  Muscle activation strategy during fatigue
John Letizi¹, Joshua Kline¹, Gianluca De Luca³, Paola Contessa¹
¹Delsys, Inc

1-B-13  Planter flexors activities during human upright standing are determined by cosine tuning
Kai Lon Fok¹, Daichi Nozaki², Kei Masani²
¹University of Toronto, ²The University of Tokyo

1-B-14  Analysis of fixation points before and during writing a line
Ikuma Suwabe¹
¹Toyohashi University of Technology

1-B-15  A stable, long-term cortical signature underlying consistent behavior
Juan Alvaro Gallego¹, Matthew Perich², Radek Chowdhury³, Sara Solla³, Lee Miller³
³Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), ²University of Geneva, ³Northwestern University

1-B-16  Proactive and reactive modulation of cortical excitation and inhibitory mechanisms during selective stopping of a planned bimanual action
Mark Hinder¹, Rohan Puri¹
¹University of Tasmania
1-B-17  Shocking discoveries: The effect of penalty modality on decision making and movement trajectories  
Christopher Holland¹, Heather Neyedli¹  
¹Dalhousie University

1-B-19  Bilateral motor synergies and interlimb force coordination in older adults  
Hyun Joon Kim¹, Lisa Roberts², Clara Aziz³, Joon Ho Lee⁴, Nyeonju Kang¹, Caurahh James³  
¹Incheon National University, ²University of Alabama, ³University of Florida

1-B-20  Enhancing motor sequence consolidation using anodal tDCS at primary motor cortex during a repetitive practice format  
Taewon Kim¹, David Wright¹  
¹Texas A&M University

1-B-21  Neuromuscular adaptation subserving independent control of finger movements in musicians  
Yudai Kimoto¹, Takanori Oku², Shinichi Furuya²  
¹Sophia University, ²Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc. (Sony CSL)

1-B-22  Motor decision-making in the face of competing descending control  
Victor Lobato-Rios¹, Pavan Ramdya¹  
¹EPFL

1-B-23  Modulation of event-related spectral perturbations in the μ band during a position matching task: a study about neural correlates of upper limb position sense  
Francesca Marini¹, Claudio Campus¹, Valentina Pippo¹, Pietro Morasso¹, Jacopo Zeneri¹  
¹Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia

1-B-24  Continuous neural and whole-body kinematic recordings across the rodent behavioral repertoire  
Jesse Marshall¹, Tim Dunn², Diego Aldorondo¹, William Wang¹, Gordon Berman², Bence Olveczky³  
¹Harvard University, ²Duke University, ³Emory University

1-B-25  Optimal force production via flexible neural control of motor units  
Najia Marshall¹, Larry Abbott¹, Mark Churchland¹  
¹Columbia University

1-B-26  Motor cortex mediates forelimb antagonist muscle cocontraction using a distinct control strategy  
Claire Warriner¹, Samaher Fageiry¹, Shreya Saxena¹, Thomas Jessell², Rui Costa¹, Andrew Miri²  
¹Columbia University, ²Northwestern University

1-B-27  Differential impact of interhemispheric inhibition on motor mirroring in the aged brain  
Takuya Morishita¹, Jan Timmermann², Robert Schulz², Friedhelm Hummel²  
¹Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (EPFL), ²University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

1-B-28  Reticulospinal drive with a flexor bias can be detected as alpha-band shared neural drive during voluntary tasks in healthy individuals  
Akira Nagamori¹, Christopher Laine¹, Francisco Valero-Cuevas¹  
¹University of Southern California

1-B-29  Nerve-specific sensory attenuation during muscle contraction  
Mayuko Radzikowski¹, Naotsugu Kaneko¹, Kimitaka Nakazawa¹  
¹The University of Tokyo

1-B-30  Role of mesencephalic locomotor region in modulating locomotor modules in the lumbosacral spinal cord  
Paola Salmas¹, Vincent C. K. Cheung¹  
¹Chinese University of Hong Kong

1-B-31  Acute effect of blood flow restriction on muscle activation and force fluctuations during repetitive low load exercises  
Yu-Han Su¹, Hsiu-Ju Tang¹, Ing-Shiou Hwang¹  
¹National Cheng Kung University

1-B-32  Movement preparation time determines the precision of movement preparation  
Katrin Sutter¹, Leonie Oostwoud Wijdenes¹, Robert van Beers¹, Pieter Medendorp²  
¹Radboud University, ²Donders Institute, Radboud University

1-B-33  Characterizing age-related changes in supplementary motor area primary motor cortex connectivity  
Ann-Maree Vallence¹, Brittany Rutak¹, Peter Drummond¹  
¹Murdoch University

1-B-34  Remote activation of the ventral midbrain using tDCS of prefrontal cortex enhances online performance of a motor sequence skill  
Yiyu Wang¹, Jessica Bernard¹, John Buchanan¹, David Wright¹  
¹Texas A&M University

1-B-35  Spinal stretch reflexes support efficient reaching  
Jeff Weiler¹, Paul Gribble¹, Andrew Pruszynski¹  
¹Western University

1-B-36  Goal utility varies as a function of movement strategy  
Aaron Wong¹, Audrey Green², Mitchell Isaacs¹  
¹Moss Rehabilitation Research Institute, ²Holy Family University

1-B-37  Motor cortical control of muscle tone: evidence from the pelvic floor in humans  
Moheb Yani¹, Jason Kutch¹  
¹University of Southern California

1-B-38  Linear summation of spinally-induced forearm force field in macaque monkeys  
Amir Yaron¹, Hiroaki Kaguchi¹, David Kowalski², Tomohiko Takei³, Kazuhiko Seki⁴  
¹NCNP - National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, ²Drexel University, ³Kyoto University, ⁴National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry

C – Posture & Gait

1-C-39  Postural control with the error feedback in the elderly  
I-Ju Chen¹, Yi Ying Tsai², Ing-Shiou Hwang²  
¹National Cheng Kung University; Asia University, ²National Cheng Kung University

1-C-40  Stick balancing while standing: an example of dual unstable task control  
Amel Cherif¹, Jacopo Zeneri¹, Pietro Morasso¹  
¹Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia
Poster Sessions

1-C-41 Difference in gait adaptability in stroke patients due to symmetry of foot contact position: split-belt treadmill adaptation behavior
Keisuke Hirata¹, Hiroki Hanawa¹, Taku Miyazawa², Keisuke Kubota¹, Moeka Sonoo³, Takanori Kokubun¹, Naohiko Kanemura¹
¹Saitama Prefectural University, ²Hasuda Central Clinic

1-C-42 Are corrective muscle responses during a slip perturbation coordinated by the vestibular system?
Jonathan Lee¹, Christopher Asplund², Sarah Ruegg¹, Lauren Vera¹, Christopher Powers¹
¹University of Southern California

1-C-43 DeepLabCut: a tool for fast, robust, and efficient 3D pose estimation
Alexander Mathis¹, Tanmay Nath², Richard Warren³, An Chi Chen³, Amir Patel¹, Venkatesh Murthy¹, Mackenzie Mathis¹, Matthias Bethge¹
¹Harvard University / University of Tuebingen, ²Harvard University, ³Columbia University, ⁴University of Cape Town, ⁵University of Tuebingen

1-C-44 Long latency event-related desynchronization and synchronization of EEG beta-band in response to support-surface perturbations during quiet standing
Akihiro Nakamura¹, Yasuyuki Suzuki¹, Matija Milosevic¹, Taishin Nomura¹
¹Osaka University

D – Integrative Control of Movement

1-D-47 Visual feedback processing during a rapid sensorimotor decision task
Deborah Barany¹, Margaret Schrayer¹, Ana Gomez², Tarkeshwar Singh²
¹University of Georgia, ²Medical University of South Carolina

1-D-48 Descending cortical pathways bidirectionally modulate forelimb tactile feedback in the cuneate nucleus
Maeve Le Goïc¹, Danping Wang¹, Catherine Vidal¹, Elodie Chiarovano¹, Jennyfer Lecompte², Sebastien Laporte², Jacques Duyssens³, Pierre-Paul Vidal¹
¹Paris Descartes University, ²Arts et Metiers ParisTech, ³KU Leuven

1-D-52 The effect of augmented low-frequency error feedback on visuomotor tracking for the elders
Chia-Ling Hu¹, Ing-Shiou Hwang¹
¹National Cheng Kung University

1-D-53 Compensatory responses and reflex mechanisms in postural tongue control
Takayuki Ito¹, Andrew Szabados¹, Jean-Loup Caillé², Pascal Pierrot²
¹GIPSA lab, ²CS System of Information, ³Grenoble-INP

1-D-54 Dynamic modulation of brain activities during three-ball cascade juggling
Hiroyuki Kambara¹, Makoto Miyakoshi², Hirokazu Tanaka³, Takahiro Kagawa¹, Natsue Yoshimura¹, Yasuharu Koike¹, Scott Makeig²
¹Tokyo Institute of Technology, ²University of California, San Diego, ³Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, ⁴Aichi Institute of Technology

1-D-55 Trajectory deviation in the presence of distractors associated with positive and negative outcomes
Heather Neyedi¹, Alyssa Walsh¹, Kevin LeBlanc¹
¹Dalhousie University

D – Disorders of Motor Control

1-E-62 Insights from a brain computer interface user on intracortical control and feedback of robotic arms for object manipulation
Nathan Copeland¹, Kristin Quick, Robert Gaunt¹, Jennifer Collinger¹
¹University of Pittsburgh

1-E-63 Force matching in post-stroke fatigue
William De Doncker¹, Anna Kuppuswamy¹
¹University College London
1-E-65  Resting-state functional connectivity changes observed after five bouts of high-intensity cycling exercise paired with upper-limb motor practice in older adults  
Brian Greeley¹, Jason Neva¹, Briana Chau¹, Christina Jones¹, Jennifer Ferris¹, Lara Boyd¹  
¹University of British Columbia

1-E-66  Chronic stroke patients compensate loss of rotational joint work by increasing translational joint work during sit-to-stand motion  
Hiroki Hanawa¹, Keisuke Hirata¹, Taku Miyazawa², Keisuke Kubota¹, Moeka Sonoo¹, Tsutomu Fujino¹, Takanori Kokubun¹, Naohiko Kanemura¹  
¹Saitama Prefectural University, ²Hasuda Central Clinic

1-E-67  Transcranial direct current stimulation for walking capabilities in Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-Analysis  
Rye Kyeong Kim¹, Hye Keun Lee¹, Se Ji Ahn¹, Yang Mi Shin¹, Hyun Joon Kim¹, Joon Ho Lee¹, Kyoungkyu Jeon¹, Minchul Kim¹, Nyeonju Kang¹  
¹Incheon National University, ²Vectry Biomechanics Inc.

1-E-68  Motor unit control properties in neuromuscular disorders  
John Letzi¹, Anant Shenoy², Gianluca De Luca¹, Serge Roy¹, Joshua Kline¹, Paola Contessa³  
¹Deisys Inc, ²Baystate Medical Center

1-E-69  ARCO: Cooperative arm rehabilitation after stroke  
Miriam Schrafl-Altermatt¹, Felix Thomas¹, Michael Villiger¹  
¹ETH Zurich

1-E-70  Sex and APOE genetics affect the relationship between dementia risk and cognitive-motor integration performance  
Alica Rogojin¹, Diana Gorbet¹, Kara Hawkins¹, Lauren Sergio¹  
¹York University

1-E-71  Visual feedback of object motion improves grip control but disrupts arm control in adults with ASC  
Shinya Takamuku¹, Haruhiya Ohta², Chieko Kani², Hiroaki Gomi³  
¹NTT Communication Science Laboratories, ²Showa university

F – Adaptation & Plasticity in Motor Control  
Poster Cluster (1-F-72 to 1-F-74)

1-F-72  Mechanical transparency guides the selection of internal models  
Carlo Campagnoli¹, Jordan Taylor¹  
¹Princeton University

1-F-73  Sensory-prediction errors drive both motor adaptation and perceptual recalibration  
Eugene Pohl¹, Jordan Taylor¹  
¹Princeton University

1-F-74  The long-term shortfalls of implicit sensorimotor adaptation  
Sarah Hutter¹, Jordan Taylor¹  
¹Princeton University

1-F-75  Multiple motor memories depending on foveal and peripheral reaching  
Naotoshi Abekawa¹, Hiroaki Gomi³  
¹NTT Communication Science Laboratories

1-F-76  Neural correlates of sensorimotor adaptation in a spaceflight analogue environment  
Lauren Banker¹, Jessica Lee², Nichole Gadd³, Igor Kofman³, Yiri De Dios⁴, Jacob Bloomberg⁴, Ajit Mulavara⁴, Rachel Seidler⁴  
¹University of Florida, ²Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR), ³NASA Johnson Space Center, ⁴KBRwyle

1-F-77  Combining transcranial direct current stimulation and mirror therapy enhances upper limb motor control in chronic stroke survivors  
Yen-Yi Chen¹, Yun-Wang¹, Szu-Hung Lin¹, Ching-Yi Wu¹  
¹Chang Gung University

1-F-78  Critical Periods After Stroke Study (CPASS): A Phase II Trial  
Alexander Dromerick¹, Dorothy Edwards², Matthew Edwordson³, Margot Giannetti⁴, Jessica Barth⁴, Kathleen Brady⁴, Abigail Mitchell⁴, Shashwati Geed⁵, Ming Tan⁶, Massimo Fiandaca⁶, Howard Federoff⁶, Eliisa Newport⁶  
¹MedStar National Rehabilitation Network and Georgetown University Medical Center, ²University of Wisconsin-Madison, ³Georgetown University Medical Center, ⁴MedStar National Rehabilitation Network, ⁵Washington University in St. Louis, ⁶University of California

1-F-79  The functional relevance of interhemispheric reorganization within the former sensory hand representation of unilateral amputees  
Nathan Baune¹, Pin-Wei Chen¹, Benjamin Philip¹, Kenneth Valyear², Scott Fry³  
¹Washington University, ²University of Bangor, ³University of Missouri

1-F-80  The motor engram as a dynamic change of the cortical network during early sequence learning: an fMRI study  
Yuki Hamano¹, Sho Sugawara¹, Takaaki Yoshimoto¹, Norihiro Sadato¹  
¹National Institute for Physiological Sciences

1-F-81  Movement velocity is more susceptible than duration to implicit motor adaptation for movement distance  
Takui Hayashi¹, Ken Takiyama¹  
¹Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

1-F-82  Enhancing somatosensory perception of pianists by a novel haptic training  
Masato Hirano¹, Mizuha Sakurada¹, Shinichi Furuya¹  
¹Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Inc., ²Sophia University

1-F-83  Effect of a viewpoint on long-term retention of motor memory  
Hirosi Kadota¹, Satoru Sawada¹  
¹Kochi University of Technology

1-F-84  Analyzing instrumental learning in blindsight monkeys with reinforcement learning model  
Rikako Kato¹, Kei Majima¹, Akira Murakami², Adbelhafid Zeghibb², Peter Redgrave², Yukiyasu Kamitani¹, Tadashi Isa³  
¹Kyoto University, ²Sheffield University

1-F-85  Peripersonal space and the experienced perturbation of a new force-field: story of a contraction  
Nicolas Leclere¹, Yann Coello³, Fabrice Sarlegna³, Christophe Bourdin¹  
¹Movement Sciences Institute of Marseille, ²Laboratoire de Sciences Cognitives et Affectives, ³Aix-Marseille University

1-F-87  Starting to dissociate explicit and implicit learning in studies of speech auditory-motor adaptation  
Kwang Kim¹, Ludo Max¹  
¹University of Washington
## Poster Sessions

### 1-F-88 Motor learning with four types of robot controls
Hisayoshi Muramatsu¹, Yoshihiro Itaguchi², Chiharu Yamada³, Hiroshi Yoshizawa⁴, Kazuoyoshi Fukuzawa⁵, Seiichiro Katsura¹
¹Keio University, ²Shizuoka University, ³Waseda University, ⁴Tokyo Women’s Medical University

### 1-F-89 Perceptual learning induced by active movements with ambiguous visual stimuli
Giulia Sedda¹, Vittorio Sanguineti¹, Silvio Sabatini¹, David Ostry²
¹University of Genoa, ²McGill University and Haskins Laboratories

### 1-F-90 The effects of reward on movement chunking
Sebastian Sporn¹, Joseph Galea¹
¹University of Birmingham

### 1-F-91 Robotic assistance increases the user's feedback gain
Atsushi Takagi¹, Hiroyuki Kambara¹, Yasuharu Koike¹
¹Tokyo Institute of Technology

### 1-F-92 Directional tuning of stretch reflexes after implicit and explicit visuomotor adaptation
Lonneke Teunissen¹, Luc Selen¹, Pieter Medendorp¹
¹Donders Institute, Radboud University

### 1-F-94 Impact of reinforcement on action selection, initiation and execution during motor skill learning
Pierre Vassiliadiadis¹, Gerard Derosiere¹, Cécile Dubuc¹, Frédéric Crevecoeur¹, Julie Duque¹
¹Université Catholique de Louvain

### 1-F-95 Triggering the switching between motor control strategies in complex unstable tasks
Jacopo Zenzeri¹, Giulia Belgiovine¹, Pietro Morasso¹
¹Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia

### G – Theoretical & Computational Motor Control

#### 1-G-96 Brain electrical activity in response to delayed visual feedback after motor execution
Chang-Hwan Im¹, Jeong-Hwan Lim¹
¹Hanyang University

#### 1-G-97 Decoding of kinematic information using functional specificity of primary motor cortical neurons
Min-Ki Kim¹, Sung-Phil Kim¹
¹Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology

#### 1-G-98 Finding 1-Dimensional substructures in set of kinematic time series in a cyclic motor task
Lilla Botzheim¹, Jozsef Laczkó¹, Mariann Mravcsik¹, Szabolcs Malik¹, Sandor Szabo¹
¹University of Pecs and Wigner Research Centre for Physics

#### 1-G-99 Redundant visual error information processing by motor adaptation system according to divisive normalization mechanism
Yuto Makino¹, Takuji Hayashi¹, Daichi Nozaki¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Harvard University

#### 1-G-100 Classical conditioning effects in human visuomotor adaptation
Guy Averham¹, Jordan Taylor², Richard Ivry¹, Samuel McDougle¹
¹University of California, Berkeley, ²Princeton University

### 1-G-101 Real-time BMI control for virtual navigation based on rhythmic neural activity
Sean Perkins¹, Karen Schroeder¹, Qi Wang¹, Mark Churchland¹
¹Columbia University

### 1-G-102 A neuromorphic implementation of afferented muscles demonstrates that only phase-advanced gamma drive of particular amplitudes allows fast voluntary cyclical movements
Suraj Chakravarthi Raja¹, Francisco Valero-Cuevas¹
¹University of Southern California

NOTES
POSTER SESSION 2
Friday April 26 & Saturday April 27

Posters are listed by theme.

A – Control of Eye & Head Movement

2-A-1  Topographic representation of saccade vector in frontal eye field of common marmoset
Chih-Yang Chen¹, Denis Matrov¹, Kuan-Ting Ho¹, Tadashi Isa¹
¹Kyoto University

2-A-2  Neural mechanisms that allow cortical preparatory activity without inappropriate movement
Timothy Darlington¹, Stephen Lisberger¹
¹Duke University School of Medicine

2-A-3  Predicted tracking error triggers catch-up saccades during smooth pursuit
Omri Nachmani¹, Jonathan Coutinho¹, Aarlenne Khan², Phillip Lefèvre³, Gunnar Blohm¹
¹Queen’s University, ²Université de Montréal, ³Université catholique de Louvain

2-A-4  Initial movement planning under uncertainty about task goal intends to prepare a desired state for the execution of possible secondary-movements
Ryoji Onagawa¹, Kazutoshi Kudo¹
¹The University of Tokyo

2-A-5  Identification of the frontal eye fields in the common marmoset using microstimulation
Janahan Selvanayagam¹, Lauren Schaeffer¹, Kevin Johnston¹, Stefan Everling¹
¹Western University

2-A-6  Does eccentric fixation alter head movement strategy for smooth pursuit?
Anca Velisar¹, Preeti Verghese¹, Natela Shanidze¹
¹The Smith-Kettlewell Eye Research Institute

2-A-7  A novel method to measure 3D eye movements with Infra-Red video eye tracking
Johan Pelf¹, Patrick Forbes²
¹ErasmusMC

2-A-8  Vestibulo-ocular response suppression during voluntary eye closure in man and monkey
Johannes van der Steen¹, Omid Zobeiri², Emma Raat¹, Jean-Sebastian Blouin¹, Kathleen Cullen¹, Patrick Forbes¹
¹ErasmusMC, ²Johns Hopkins University, ³University of British Columbia

B – Fundamentals of Motor Control

Poster Cluster (2-B-9 & 2-B-10)

2-B-9  Learning compensation for different force fields and perturbation directions randomly applied during reaching
Frédéric Crevecoeur¹, Philippe Lefèvre¹, James Mathew¹
¹Université Catholique de Louvain

2-B-10  Correlates of online changes in movement representations in 200ms
James Mathew¹, Marie Bastin¹, Philippe Lefèvre¹, Frédéric Crevecoeur¹
¹Université Catholique de Louvain

2-B-11  Surrounding inhibition in the internal globus pallidus at task-relevant frequency to facilitate motor control during a cyclic drawing task
Shinichi Amano¹, Enrique Argüelles¹, Ruta Deshpande¹, Diana Ferman¹, Terence Sanger¹
¹University of Southern California

2-B-12  Widespread activation in human GPi and motor thalamus positively correlates with muscle activation during voluntary movement
Enrique Arguelles¹, Shinichi Amano¹, Ruta Deshpande¹, Diana Ferman¹, Terence Sanger¹
¹University of Southern California

2-B-13  Robust control strategies in the presence of uncertain load environments
Joshua Cashaback¹, Ryan Miller¹, Michael Asmussen¹, Frédéric Crevecoeur², Tyler Cluff¹
¹University of Calgary, ²Université Catholique de Louvain

2-B-14  The effect of reward and punishment on upper limb motor control
Olivier Codol¹, Peter Holland¹, Joseph Galea¹
¹University of Birmingham

2-B-15  The inhibition of voluntary muscle relaxations depends on similar mechanisms to the inhibition of muscle contractions
Jack De Havas¹, Sho Ito¹, Hiroaki Gomi¹
¹NTT Communication Science Laboratories

2-B-16  Urgency tunes center-surround inhibition in the motor system during action selection
Gerard Derosiere¹, David Thura², Paul Cisek³, Julie Duque¹
¹Université Catholique de Louvain, ²Inserm U1028 - CNRS UMR 5292, ³Université de Montréal

2-B-17  A multi-domain task battery reveals functional boundaries in the human cerebellum
Jönn Diedrichsen¹, Carlos Hernandez-Castillo¹, Russell Poldrack², Richard Ivry¹, Maedhbh King³
¹Western University, ²Stanford University, ³University of California, Berkeley

2-B-18  Sensory context interferes with volitional modulation of single neurons
Carmen F Fisac¹, Steven Chase¹
¹Carnegie Mellon University

2-B-19  Errors in motor control correspond to increased mental workload during an object manipulation task
Kelene Fercho¹, Lee Baugh¹
¹University of South Dakota

2-B-20  Generalizability of a novel feedback controller is computationally demanding and sensitive to prior knowledge
Sarah Hutter¹, Samuel McDougle², Jordan Taylor¹
¹Princeton University, ²University of California, Berkeley

2-B-21  Spinal stimulus effects altered by voluntary muscle activity in monkeys
Miki Kaneshige¹, Kei Obara¹, Michiaki Suzuki², Toshiki Tazoe¹, Yukio Nishimura²
¹Neural Prosthesis Project, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, ²Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science
Poster Sessions

2-B-22  Mapping motor unit networks of human movement - Forwarding new possibilities
Joshua Kline¹, Paola Contessa¹, Bhawna Shiwani², John Chiodoni³, Serge Roy¹, Gianluca De Luca¹
¹Delsys, Inc, ²Delsys, Inc and Altec, Inc

2-B-23  Action observation and execution differentially modulate basal ganglia-cortical activity in humans
Mahsa Malekmohammadi¹, Kathryn Cross¹, Jeongwoo Choi¹, Nader Pouratian³
¹University of California, Los Angeles

2-B-24  Neural representations of rapid unlearning of force planning following object rotation
Michelle Marneweck¹, Scott Grafton¹
¹University of California Santa Barbara

2-B-25  The influence of preceding muscle activity on force perception in ballistic contractions
Takeshi Miyamoto¹, Tomohiro Kizuka¹, Seiji Ono¹
¹University of Tsukuba

2-B-26  Emergence of spinomuscular and corticomuscular loops in dynamic vs. static phases of precision grip
Tomomichi Oya¹, Tomohiko Takei², Kazuhiko Seki³
¹National Institute of Neuroscience, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, ²Kyoto University, ³National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry

2-B-27  Bimanual training results in M1 excitability changes, while delay interval length after training influences response time in a visual perception task but not motor skill consolidation
Inchon Park¹, John Buchanan², David Wright²
¹Korea Institute of Sports Science, ²Texas A&M University

2-B-28  Facilitatory effects of ipsilateral, contralateral and bilateral upper-limb muscle contractions on corticospinal and spinal reflex excitabilities in lower-limb muscles
Atsushi Sasaki¹, Naotsugu Kaneko¹, Yohei Masugi², Matija Milosevic³, Kimitaka Nakazawa¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²Tokyo International University, ³Tokyo University

2-B-29  Cooperative hand movements up-regulate bilateral input to the upper limb - an ipsilateral MEP study
Miriam Schraff-Altermatt¹, Harry Jordan², Kelly Ho², Winston Byblow²
¹ETH Zurich, ²University of Auckland

2-B-30  Macaque ventral midbrain facilitates the output to forelimb muscles via the primary motor cortex
Michiaki Suzuki¹, Ken-ichi Inoue², Hiroshi Nakagawa², Tadashi Isa³, Masahiko Takada², Yukio Nishimura¹
¹Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, ²Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, ³Kyoto University

2-B-31  Planning of actions following predictive and non-predictive symbolic cues
Jennifer Swansburg¹, Heather Neyedli¹
¹Dalhousie University

2-B-32  Optimal motor decision-making through competition with opponents
Keiji Ota¹, Mamoru Tanae², Kotaro Ishii², Ken Takiyama³
¹Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology / New York University, ²Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

2-B-33  Volitional control of motor unit firing behavior for improved human-machine interface
Joshua Kline¹, Michael Twardowski², Serge Roy¹, Zhi Li², Paola Contessa¹, Gianluca De Luca¹
¹Delsys, Inc, ²Delsys, Inc and Altec, Inc, ³Worcester Polytechnic Institute

2-B-34  Decoding muscle activity using electrocorticographic signals in freely behaving marmosets
Tatsuya Umeda¹, Masashi Koizumi², Yoko Katakai³, Ryoichi Saito², Kazuhiko Seki²
¹National Institute of Neuroscience, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, ²National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, ³The Corporation for Production and Research of Laboratory Primates

2-B-35  Towards a neural population-level understanding of the effects of methylphenidate (Ritalin) in motor cortex of reaching monkeys
Jessica Verhein¹, Saurabh Vyas¹, Krishna Shenoy¹
¹Stanford University

2-B-36  Explaining the neural activity distribution associated with discrete movement sequences: Evidence for parallel functional systems
Willem Verwey¹, Peter Dominey¹, Jocelyne Ventre-Dominey²
¹University of Twente, ²INSERM U1093

2-B-37  Motor-cortical activity during bilateral and unilateral lower-limb force control
Akiko Yamaguchi¹, Atsushi Sasaki¹, Matija Milosevic², Kimitaka Nakazawa¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²Osaka University

2-B-38  Interactions between short-latency afferent inhibition and cerebellar stimulation in the human motor cortex
Danny Spampinato¹, Giulia Dallera¹, John Rothwell¹
¹University College of London

C – Posture & Gait

2-C-39  Corticospinal excitability modulation during a precision locomotor task in humans
Charline Dambreville¹, Cécilia Neige¹, Catherine Mercier¹, Andréanne Blanchette¹, Laurent Bouyer¹
¹Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation and Social Integration

2-C-40  Association of cortical inhibition with gait and balance
Kathleen Hupfeld¹, Eric Porges¹, Chris Hass¹, Rachael Seidler¹
¹University of Florida

2-C-41  Facilitation of spinal reflexes in the lower-limb muscles during action observation combined with motor imagery of walking
Naotsugu Kaneko¹, Yohei Masugi², Noboru Usuda¹, Hikaru Yokoyama³, Kimitaka Nakazawa¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²Tokyo International University, ³Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology

2-C-42  Smaller perturbation-evoked cortical responses are associated with better balance ability in healthy young adults
Aiden Payne¹, Lena Ting¹
¹Georgia Tech/Emory University
2-D-43  Speed-accuracy tradeoff in anticipatory postural adjustment for a forward step  
Masahiro Shinya¹  
¹Hiroshima University

2-D-44  Sensor-based Characterization of gait impairments during activities of daily living  
Joshua Kline¹, Bhawna Shiwan², Serge Roy¹, Paola Contessa¹, Marie Saint-Hilaire², Christine Thomas³, Gianluca De Luca³  
¹Deisys, Inc, ²Deisys, Inc and Altec, Inc, ³Boston University

2-D-45  Changes in postural synergy due to mechanical knee constraint on an unsteady stance surface  
Yiying Tsai¹, Ing-Shiou Hwang²  
¹National Chung Kung University, ²National Cheng Kung University

D – Integrative Control of Movement

2-D-47  Haptic and visual information about the to-be-grasped object is integrated in specific parts of the movement planning and execution phases  
Ivan Camponogara¹, Robert Volcic¹  
¹New York University Abu Dhabi

2-D-48  The reliability of the TMS-conditioned monosynaptic reflex in FCR muscle  
Antonio Capozio¹, Samit Chakrabarty¹, Sarah Astill¹  
¹University of Leeds

2-D-49  Simultaneous MEG and articulography for the study of speech motor control  
Douglas Cheyne¹, Shaquile Nijjer¹, Cecilia Jobst¹, Fanny Hotze², David Meng³, Pascal Van Lieshout¹, Teresa Cheung⁴, Blake Johnson⁵  
¹Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute, ²Holland Bloorview Kids Rehabilitation Hospital, ³Macquarie University, ⁴University of Toronto, ⁵Simon Fraser University

2-D-50  Inter-individual variability and predictability of throwing actions  
Antonella Maselli¹, Paolo Tommasino¹, Benedetta Cesqui¹, Marta Russo¹, Francesco Lacquaniti¹, Andrea d’Avella¹  
¹IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, ²University of Rome Tor Vergata, ³University of Messina

2-D-51  Representation of rotational and translational vestibular information in the human brain  
Nobuhiro Hagura¹, Kazuma Aoyama², Hiroshi Ban³, Atsushi Yokoi², Yuji Kagaya², Hideyuki Ando², Elisa Ferre³  
¹University College London, ²University of Tokyo, ³National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, ⁴Osaka University, ⁵Royal Holloway University of London

2-D-52  Early developmental change in inter-muscle sensorimotor modules of human infants: Preliminary results  
Hoshinori Kanazawa¹, Yasunori Yamada¹, Kazutoshi Tanaka¹, Masahiko Kawai¹, Yasuo Kunyoshi¹  
¹The University of Tokyo, ²The Kyoto University

2-D-53  Cortical local field potential control of epidural spinal stimulation for restoring forelimb movement after spinal cord injury  
Abed Khorasani¹, Soshi Samejima¹, Nicholas Tolley¹, Adrien Boissenin², Chet Moritz¹  
¹Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Washington

2-D-54  Invasive Brain-Computer Interface for avatar arm control in virtual reality using a deep learning decoder framework  
Christian Klaes¹, Robin Lienkemper¹, Muhammad Saif-ur-Rehman¹, Susanne Dyck¹, Ioannis Iossifidis²  
¹Ruhr-University Bochum, ²University Ruhr West

2-D-55  A comparison of neuronal activity between the dorsal premotor area and the pre-supplementary motor area in primates during a two-movement sequence task  
Toshi Nakajima¹, Ryosuke Hosaka², Hajime Mushiake³  
¹Ashikawa Medical University, ²Fukuoka University, ³Tohoku University

2-D-56  A communication subspace isolates population-level interactions between motor and somatosensory cortex  
Matthew Perich¹, Sara Conti¹, Beatrice Barra¹, Marion Badi², Sophie Wurth², Melanie Kaeser³, Jocelyne Bloch⁴, Grégoire Courtine⁵, Silvestro Micera⁶, Marco Capogrosso⁷, Tomislav Milekovic⁷  
¹University of Geneva, ²University of Fribourg, ³École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, ⁴Centre hospitalier universitaire Vaudois

2-D-57  Towards understanding the role of task-related sensory information in motor cortex during object interaction  
Marc Powell¹, David Borton¹  
¹Brown University

2-D-58  Motor cortex is an input-dependent dynamical system controlling dexterous movement  
Britton Sauerbrei¹, Jian-Zhong Guo¹, Matteo Mischiai¹, Wendy Guo¹, Mayank Kabra¹, Nakul Verma¹, Brett Mensh¹, Kristin Branson¹, Adam Best¹  
¹Janelia Research Campus, HHMI

2-D-59  Is the manual following response an attempt to compensate for inferred self-motion?  
Jeroen Smeets¹, Yajie Zhang¹, Jacques Duysens¹, Sabine Verschueren, Eli Brenner¹  
¹VU University Amsterdam, ²KU Leuven

2-D-60  Exploring the limits of central pattern generators in quadrupedal locomotion using a musculoskeletal simulation model of mice  
Shravan Tata Ramalingasetty¹, Grégoire Courtine¹, Auke Ijspeert³  
¹École Polytechnic Federal Lausanne (EPFL)

2-D-61  Population dynamics in primary motor cortex during locomotion and obstacle avoidance  
David Xing¹, Wilson Truccolo¹, David Borton¹  
¹Brown University

2-D-62  The effect of individual traits on unintentional errors in skilled movement  
Chiharu Yamada¹, Yoshihiro Itaguchi¹, Kazuyoshi Fukuzawa¹  
¹Waseda University, ²Shizuoka University
**Poster Sessions**

2-D-105  Neurophysiological mechanism underlying cervical transcutaneous spinal cord stimulation in humans
Matija Milosevic¹, Yohei Masugi², Atsushi Sasaki³, Dimitry Sayenko⁴, Kimitaka Nakazawa³
¹Osaka University, ²Tokyo International University, ³The University of Tokyo, ⁴Houston Methodist Research Institute

**E – Disorders of Motor Control**

2-E-63  Patterns of Oculomotor Abnormalities in mild TBI
John Anderson¹
¹Minneapolis VA Health Care System - University of Minnesota

2-E-64  Changes in the relations between postural control, eye movements and cognitive involvement related to the effects of Parkinson's disease and age
Bonnet Cédric¹, Arnaud Delval², Tarkehsvar Singh¹, Luc Defebvre²
¹SCALab UMR 9193, ²Unité INSERM 1171, Faculté de médecine, Université de Lille, ³Medical University of South Carolina

2-E-65  Multiple causes of pathological oscillations related to ataxia in mouse cerebellum
Guy Cheron¹, Axelle Leroy¹, Julian Cheron¹, Javier Marquez², Laurence Ris³
¹Université Libre de Bruxelles, ²University Pablo de Olavide, ³University Mons

2-E-66  Pre-movement excitability in Post-Stroke Fatigue
William De Doncker¹, Anna Kuppuswamy¹
¹University College London

2-E-67  Optogenetically mediated neuromodulation of trunk motor cortex paired with exercised based rehabilitation leads to axial reflex changes below a complete T9/T10 spinal cord injury
Kendall Schmidt¹, Simon Giszter¹
¹Drexel University

2-E-68  Delays in reticulospinal system are correlated with deficits in motor learning in older adults
Joseph Schreiber¹, Sydney Schaefer¹, Claire Honeycutt¹
¹Arizona State University

2-E-69  Feedforward and feedback motor circuit dysfunction impairs reaching movements in EphA4 knockout mice
Juan Jiang¹, Bror Alstermark¹
¹Umeå University

2-E-70  Cortico-subcortical connectivity in stopping of ongoing movements
Roxanne Lofredi¹
¹Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin

2-E-72  Exploiting the Random Forest classification algorithm for identifying features of muscle synergy post-stroke
Lester K. P. So¹, Giacomo Severini², Catherine Adans-Dester³, Paolo Bonato¹, Vincent C. K. Cheung¹
¹The Chinese University of Hong Kong, ²UCD Centre for Biomedical Engineering, ³Harvard Medical School

2-E-104  Elevated beta oscillatory brain activity during balance recovery in patients with Parkinson's disease
Nina Ghosh¹, Aiden Payne¹, Lena Ting¹
¹Georgia Tech/Emory University

**F – Adaptation & Plasticity in Motor Control**

2-F-73  Experienced prosthesis users exhibit accurate online error correction when reaching in the absence of visual feedback
Daniela Mattos¹, Carmen Cirstea¹, Scott Frey¹
¹University of Missouri

2-F-74  Challenging balance enhances generalization of visuomotor mappings across reaching and walking tasks
Amanda Bakkum¹, J. Maxwell Donelan¹, Daniel Marigold¹
¹Simon Fraser University

2-F-75  The complexity of tapping force and vertical displacement in finger tapping is higher for individuals who show repeated bout rate enhancement than for those who do not
Anders Emanuelson¹, Pascal Madeleine¹, Michael Voigt¹, Ernst Hansen¹
¹Aalborg University

2-F-76  Contralateral transfer of repeated bout rate enhancement in rhythmic movement
Ernst Hansen¹, Sören Bak¹, Lasse Knudsen¹, Bo Seiferheld¹, Andrew Stevenson¹, Anders Emanuelson¹
¹Aalborg University

2-F-78  The proficiency and strategy in tool-use grasping
Yoshihiro Itaguchi¹
¹Shizuoka University

2-F-80  Concurrent visuomotor and dynamic perturbations of one hand increase contralateral interference in a bimanual task
Florian Kagerer¹, Phillip Desrochers¹, Kayley Irwin¹, Alexander Brunfeldt¹
¹Michigan State University

2-F-81  Effects of volitional preemptive abdominal contraction strategies on co-activation of lumbar multifidus under different postures
Chieh-Yu Kao¹, Yi-Liang Kuo¹, Kai-Chia Cheng¹, He-Xin Zheng¹, Yi-Ju Tsai¹
¹National Cheng Kung University

2-F-82  Looking for a sign of failure in actions: Reaching Errors triggered by slowing down of movement and specific brain activity in preceding trials
Toshiki Kobayashi¹, Mitsuaki Takemi¹, Daichi Nozaki¹
¹The University of Tokyo

2-F-83  Mechanistic determinants of interlimb transfer of visuomotor learning
Adarsh Kumar¹, Pratik Mutha¹
¹IIT Gandhi nagar, India

2-F-84  Reinforcement schedules to modify movement coordination in redundant motor tasks
Tzu-Hsiang Lin¹, Rajiv Ranganathan¹
¹Michigan State University

2-F-85  Changes in resting-state functional connectivity and behavior associated with a spaceflight analogue environment
Heather McGregor¹, Jessica Lee¹, Nichole Gadd¹, Igor Kofman⁴, Yiri De Dios⁵, Jacob Bloom berg¹, Ajit Mulavara¹, Rachael Seidler¹
¹University of Florida, ²Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. (DLR), ³NASA Johnson Space Center, ⁴KBRyle, ⁵KBRwyle
2-F-86  Unique excitation of the ipsilateral motor pathway in an elite high jumper with below-knee amputation
Tomoya Nakanishi¹, Atsushi Sasaki¹, Kento Nakagawa², Kimitaka Nakazawa¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²Toronto Rehabilitation Institute
2-F-87  EEG correlates of effort and reward processing during reinforcement learning.
Dimitrios Paldis², Paul Gribble¹
¹University of Western Ontario
2-F-88  Does motor variability predict individual differences in learning a redundant skill-learning task?
Rajiv Ranganathan¹, Marco Lin¹, Samuel Carey¹, Rakshith Lokesh¹, Mei-Hua Lee¹, Chandramouli Krishnan¹
¹Michigan State University
2-F-89  Individual optimal attentional strategy dependent social interaction during a dyadic motor learning task
Takeshi Sakurada¹, Aya Goto², Shin-ichiro Yamamoto²
¹Ritsumeikan University, ²Shibaura Institute of Technology
2-F-90  Exploration of distinct neural activity repertoire during learning of new arm dynamics
Xulu Sun¹, Daniel O’Shea¹, Eric Trautmann¹, Matthew Golub¹, Saurabh Vyas¹, Tucker Fisher², Stephen Ryu², Krishna Shenoy²
¹Stanford University, ²Palo Alto Medical Foundation
2-F-91  Variable brain states practice improves the retention of motor memory
Mitsuaki Takemi¹, Toyo Ogawara, Hartwig Siebner², Daichi Nozaki¹
¹The University of Tokyo, ²Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre
2-F-92  Interlimb transfer of sensorimotor adaptation may not reflect interhemispheric transfer: New insights from patients with corpus callosum abnormalities
Penelope Tilley¹, Patricia Romaiguère¹, Eve Tramoni¹, Olivier Felician¹, Fabrice Sarlegna¹
¹Aix-Marseille University
2-F-93  Broadband masking noise contributes to speech motor control under formant transformed auditory feedback
Yasufumi Uezu¹, Sadao Hiroya¹, Takemi Mochida¹
¹NTT Communication Science Laboratories
2-F-94  Climbing fiber synchronization emerges with skilled movement acquisition
Mark Wagner¹, Gabriel Mel¹, Joan Savall¹, Oscar Hernandez¹, Hakan Iman¹, Oleg Rumyantsev¹, Tony Kim¹, Surya Ganguli¹, Liqun Luo¹, Mark Schnitzer¹
¹Stanford University
2-F-95  Pupil-linked arousal modulates trial-by-trial adaptation during reaching
Atsushi Yokoi¹
¹National Institute of Information and Communications Technology

G – Theoretical & Computational Motor Control
2-G-96  Saccade vigor reflects utility in effort-based decisions
Alaa Ahmed¹, Daniel Apuan¹, Colin Korbisch¹
¹University of Colorado Boulder
2-G-97  Rotational neural population dynamics in motor cortex are differentially prevalent during reaching and grasping movements
James Goodman¹, Aneesha Suresh¹, Elizaveta Okorokova¹, Alex Lee¹, Nicholas Hatsopoulos¹, Sliman Bensmaia¹
¹University of Chicago
2-G-98  A revised computational neuroanatomy for motor control
Shlomi Haar¹, Opher Donchin²
¹Imperial College London, ²Ben–Gurion University of the Negev
2-G-99  Development of anatomically correct musculoskeletal model based on MRI images
Masaya Hirashima¹, Takuya Sonoda², Shoji Konda²
¹Center for Information and Neural Networks, National Institute of Information and Communications Tec, ²Osaka University
2-G-100  Transient behavior and predictability in manipulating complex objects
Rashida Nayeem¹, Salah Bazzi¹, Kaleb Noruzi¹, Neville Hogan², Dagmar Sternad¹
¹Northeastern University, ²Massachusetts Institute of Technology
2-G-101  Discovering preference in human locomotion with robust inverse optimal control
John Rebula¹, Stefan Schaal², Nicolas Schweighofer³, James Finley³, Ludovic Righetti⁴
¹Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, ²Google X, ³University of Southern California, ⁴New York University
2-G-102  Learning of generative neural networks models for EMG data constrained by cortical activation dynamics
Alessandro Salatiello¹, Martin Giese¹
¹University of Tübingen
2-G-103  A model of speed-accuracy trade-offs based on human arm dynamics
Misaki Takeda¹, Isao Nambu¹, Yasuhiro Wada¹
¹Nagaoka University of Technology
We’re excited to continue a productive relationship with the NCM Society for a second year at #NCMToy! What does JNP have to offer NCM members?

**Expertise**
NCM members are well-represented among JNP authors, editors, and reviewers.

**Social Media**
We promote all articles, and take social media requests from authors. Check us out on Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube, or Instagram to learn about our latest content!

**Scope**
25% of accepted JNP articles deal with control of movement. We encourage submissions at all levels of research from the cell to the system, including behavioral analysis, pathophysiology, modeling studies, and more!

**Collections**
Our calls for papers allow us to create digital collections without the delay and hassle of a special issue. Check out our NCM collection, or let us know if you’re interested in commissioning a call for your meeting!

**Podcasts**
Several of our recent podcasts feature NCM members, including a podcast summarizing #NCMSaFe. Get in touch to schedule a podcast about your recent article!

**Open Science**
All articles are open access after 1 year, while the AuthorChoice option allows for immediate open access. We have also introduced a variety of policies enhancing reproducibility, encouraging the use of pre-print servers, and allowing greater flexibility for sharing supplemental data.

**Author Experience**
*FlexSubmit* reduces formatting headaches, especially for NPRC transfers. JNP’s accessible staff makes submitting easy.

**Have questions? Contact us!**
Editorial Office: jnpoffice@the-aps.org
Editor-in-Chief Bill Yates: jnpeditor@the-aps.org
Or stop by our booth!

Twitter: [https://twitter.com/jneurophysiol](https://twitter.com/jneurophysiol)
LinkedIn: [https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8368238](https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8368238)
Facebook: [https://www.facebook.com/JournalofNeurophysiology](https://www.facebook.com/JournalofNeurophysiology)
YouTube: [https://goo.gl/XYkThs](https://goo.gl/XYkThs)
Newsletters: [http://jnpeditor.org/Newsletter.html](http://jnpeditor.org/Newsletter.html)
Wireless Optogenetics...?

= Teleopt®
Wireless Optogenetic Stimulator

Bilateral

Two Colors

1g Receiver
Battery life: 17h (Standby time)

2ch / 2g Receiver
Battery life: 28h (Standby time)

...for more details, visit www.TELEOPTO.com

New products!

VTS-4
VIDEO TRACKING STIMULATOR
Closed-loop stimulator based on video tracking.

Camera Window

Control Window

LAD-1
LED ARRAY DRIVER
Multiwell plate compatible light source for in-vitro optogenetics.

LED Array / Blue
Green
Yellow

Bio Research Center
Towa-Takakura Bldg. 4F, 2-26-24 Izumi, Higashinaka, Nagoya, Japan 461-0001
URL: http://www.teleopto.com
Tel: +81-52-932-6421
Fax: +81-52-932-6755
NCM Sponsors and Exhibitors

NCM receives support from a number of companies providing services to our community. This sponsor support funds our Scholarship Program and allows us to support the attendance at the conference for many students.

The 2019 Annual Conference is being supported by the following sponsoring companies, some of whom have exhibits at the conference. Please show your appreciation for their support by learning about their products and services, and for those with exhibits, make time to visit with them while you are at the conference.

Bio Research Center Co., Ltd
www.brck.co.jp/index.php
We BRC is distributed various equipment for Physiology and Pharmacology.
Email: uno@brck.co.jp
Proud supporter of the Satellite Meeting

Inter Reha Co.,Ltd
www.irc-web.co.jp/
A distributor for rehabilitation devices
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 7

Journal of Neurophysiology
www.physiology.org/journal/jn
Founded in 1938, Journal of Neurophysiology (JNP) publishes original articles on the function of the nervous system. All levels of function are included, from the membrane and cell to systems and behavior. Experimental approaches include molecular neurobiology, cell culture and slice preparations, membrane physiology, developmental neurobiology, functional neuroanatomy, neurochemistry, neuropharmacology, systems electrophysiology, imaging and mapping techniques, and behavioral analysis. Experimental preparations may be invertebrate or vertebrate species, including humans. Theoretical studies are acceptable if they are tied closely to the interpretation of experimental data and elucidate principles of broad interest.
Email: jnpeditor@the-aps.org
@Jneurophysiol
@JournalofNeurophysiology
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 4

KINARM Labs exhibited by Miyuki Giken
www bkintechologies.com
BKIN is the leading developer of robotic technologies for understanding brain function and dysfunction. KINARM Labs are flexible robotic platforms that address sensory and motor control researchers’ needs for measurement and action of the upper limb; users span the basic and clinical research continuum. The new KINARM Exoskeleton, providing 3X improved stiffness over the KINARM Classic and full access to the head to enable use of TMS, tDCS, etc., is now in full production. The medical device version of our KINARM End Point Lab is in design. Please visit our exclusive Japanese Distributor, Miyuki Giken, in Toyama!
Email: info@bkintechologies.com
@BKINtech @ KINARMLab

Miyuki Giken Co., LTD
www.miyuki-net.co.jp
Miyuki Giken is a distributor in Japan specializing in the field of neuroscience with a history of 35 years. We focus on sales of, for instance BKIN KINARM, TMS, tDCS and multi-channel electroencephalograph, and have many customers in universities and research institutions throughout country. In addition, Miyuki Giken has development, manufacturing and sales department which are established by the GMP/GQP system based on the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law, and we have experiences in introducing research equipment to Japanese market widely spreading from basic research to clinical research through product development and import and sales of leading-edge foreign products. Employees are proud to contribute to the development of neuroscience.
Email: miyuki@miyuki-net.co.jp
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 1
Physio-Tech.,co.Ltd
www.physio-tech.co.jp
Plexon Distributor in Japan
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 6

Ripple Neuro
www.rippleneuro.com
A leader in systems for electrophysiology and neuromodulation, Ripple Neuro creates neural interface and medical devices to advance research and improve the lives of underserved patient populations through thoughtful application of technology and design.
Email: info@rppl.com
@NeuroRipple
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 2

Sutter Instrument
www.sutter.com
Quality. Precision. Reliability. For over 40 years, Sutter Instrument has set the highest standards for performance and innovation. New products include the Lambda 421 Optical Beam Combiner that combines separate light sources with different spectra into a single common output beam, the TRIO 3-axis micromanipulator, the BOB, an open-design upright microscope ideal for slice electrophysiology, wide field imaging, 2-photon retinal imaging and photostimulation, and the Double IPA (Integrated Patch Amplifier), an all-in-one low-noise dual patch clamp amplifier, onboard D/A conversion and data acquisition system, and powerful SutterPatch software built on the foundations of Igor 7.
Email: alex@sutter.com

Tucker-Davis Technologies
www.tdt.com
Tucker-Davis Technologies (TDT) provides products for basic and applied research in the neurophysiology, hearing, and speech sciences as well as for general data acquisition applications. We offer a complete line of modular DSP-based data acquisition and stimulus generation systems, including our systems in fiber photometry for optogenetics research and our multimodal fMRI for animal to human research. At TDT, we work closely to achieve our common goal: to supply you with the highest quality, most up-to-date technology available at an affordable price.
Email: rojas@tdt.com
https://twitter.com/TDTneuro
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 3

Unique Medical Co Ltd
www.unique-medical.jp
Unique Medical has been manufacturing and selling research equipment in the research field of electrophysiology since 1974. Unique Medical focuses on making various types of electrodes for neural activity recording and stimulation, depending on the purpose of the researcher. We are exhibiting multi-contact deep electrodes and the ECoG electrode and we welcome all NCM delegates to stop by our booth to learn more.
Visit us at Exhibit Booth 5
What is NeuroForum?
NeuroForum is a place for brief reviews of recent, single target articles and mini-reviews of current and significant developments in neuroscience. The articles are authored by current students or postdoctoral fellows (faculty excluded). For single target article submissions, the target article must have been published within the past 3 months (at the time of submission). For mini-review submissions, significant advances in the field must have been published within the last year.

What are the format requirements?
Submissions (both those based upon single target articles and mini-reviews) are limited to 3 published printed pages (this corresponds to ~2,300 words in the main text, exclusive of reference list) including a maximum of 12 references and one original schematic/explanatory figure. This figure can include color if it is scientifically necessary. See the links (bottom left) for more information about requirements for specific sections.

Can I integrate NeuroForum into a course that I teach?
Yes! Please contact the Editor (jnpeditor@the-aps.org) if you would like to discuss this.

Where can I learn more?
https://www.physiology.org/jn/neuro-forum-guidelines
http://jnpeditor.org/NeuroForum.html
Or use the QR codes below, left:

How do I get started?
Compose a NeuroForum in just 6 easy steps:
- Assure that you qualify for submission
- Choose the right manuscript(s) to present
- Review previously-published NeuroForums
- Follow the guidelines when composing your manuscript
- Assure that your submission provides insights
- Submit your manuscripts

Are there any fees?
No! No submission fee is required and no page charges or color charges will be levied for accepted manuscripts.

How will my submission be reviewed?
Reviews will be conducted by the Editor in Chief and Associate Editors. Submissions will be evaluated for scientific accuracy, logic, clarity, and general interest. Successful submissions will not merely summarize the target article but will evaluate it critically, place it in context, and suggest further lines of inquiry. Submitted manuscripts that clearly meet the standards of the journal and the considerations of this article type may be sent back to the authors for minor revision, when needed. A NeuroForum submission should move the field forward, and not just to criticize or recapitulate the information in other articles.

What do past participants have to say?
Listen to 2 recent podcasts with the authors of accepted NeuroForums. Use the QR codes below, center and right:
AMPLICIERS
The latest addition to our expanding line is the Double IPA®, a dual headstage integrated patch clamp amplifier with onboard D/A conversion and data acquisition via high-speed USB, along with SutterPatch™, a comprehensive software package built on the foundation of Igor 7. Best suited for whole-cell patch clamp recordings and optimized to enable the experimenter to set up and perform routine tasks quickly, yet highly configurable to meet the demands of the experienced electrophysiologist.

MICROMANIPULATION
Continuing to build on our extensive line of micromanipulators, we introduce the TRIO, a highly-stable 3-axis manipulator system with synthetic 4th axis that can be set in software as any angle between 0 and 90 degrees for diagonal movement. The compact design of the integrated Rotary Optical Encoder (ROE) controller requires minimal bench space. Quality. Precision. Reliability.

OPTICAL PRODUCTS
Our latest imaging products include the Lambda 421 Optical Beam Combiner, a newly patented concept for combining separate light sources with different spectra into a single output beam, and BOB, a flexible open architecture upright microscope for slice electrophysiology. Other products include high-powered LED, Xenon and plasma light sources, the MOM two-photon resonant scanning microscope, wavelength switchers, filter wheels, and the SmartShutter®.

MICROINJECTION
The XenoWorks® microinjection system has been designed to meet the needs of a wide variety of applications that require the manipulation of cells and embryonic tissues including ICSI, ES Cell Microinjection, and Adherent Cell Microinjection. Highly responsive movement and excellent ergonomics intuitively link the user with the micropipette, improving yield – saving time and resources.
Scholarship Award Winners

New investigators and faculty are essential for the future of any field of scientific inquiry. NCM has historically encouraged conference participation by graduate students and post-doctoral fellows. The scholarship program is designed to provide partial support for them to participate in the conference and is open to student and post-doc members in good standing. Our scholarship program is funded through the support of our sponsors.

Raina D’Aleo
*Johns Hopkins University*

Raina D’Aleo is a Neuroscience Ph.D Candidate in the Lab of Sridevi Sarma at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine. She received her B.S. in Physics and Astronomy at the University of Washington in 2014 but transitioned to the biological sciences after becoming a Research Associate in the Neural Coding Department at the Allen Institute for Brain Science. Now at the intersection of modeling complex systems and investigating neural responses, Raina’s current research collaborates with Marc Schieber’s group at the University of Rochester to investigate how motor cortices dynamically interact throughout movement, utilizing dynamical systems theory.

Brian Dekleva
*University of Pittsburgh*

Brian Dekleva received his PhD in Biomedical Engineering from Northwestern University, where he focused on movement planning in motor and premotor cortex in the face of uncertainty. His current work uses brain-computer interfaces to address basic scientific questions about how motor cortex behaves when interacting with objects.

Alkis Hadjiosif
*Johns Hopkins University*

I earned my PhD from Harvard University under Prof. Maurice Smith, and I am currently a postdoctoral researcher in Johns Hopkins with Prof. John Krakauer. My research aims to understand the mechanisms of motor control and motor learning, and how these mechanisms are specifically affected in neurological disease, especially stroke.

Peter Holland
*University of Birmingham*

Peter Holland is a postdoctoral research fellow in Joseph Galea’s group at the University of Birmingham. Having previously investigated the electrophysiology of the basal ganglia (University of Oxford) and cerebellum (Erasmus MC/Ben Gurion University) he currently researches the different systems that mediate reward-based motor learning.

Christopher Hughes
*University of Pittsburgh*

I am a third-year pre-doctoral student at the University of Pittsburgh working with Dr. Robert Gaunt. We work with a spinal cord injured human participant implanted with Utah arrays in motor and somatosensory cortex for control of a bidirectional prosthesis. I primarily study how stimulus parameters modulate tactile perception.

Rodrigo Maeda
*Western University*

Rodrigo S Maeda is a PhD Candidate in the lab of Dr. Andrew Pruszynski at the University of Western Ontario. His research focuses on investigating the shared neural circuits that underlie the feedforward and feedback control of reaching in humans and monkeys.
Alexander Mathis  
*Harvard University/University of Tuebingen*

Alexander Mathis studies adaptive motor control, the senses of touch and smell as well as deep learning methods for video analysis. He did his PhD in the group of Prof. Andreas V.M. Herz in Munich and worked on deriving tuning properties of grid cells from first principles.

Samuel McDougle  
*University of California, Berkeley*

After earning a Bachelor’s degree in Neuroscience and Behavior from Vassar College in 2009, Sam spent two years as a research assistant with Professor Javier Medina studying how computations in the cerebellum can lead to well-timed, coordinated movements. Sam began a PhD in Psychology & Neuroscience at Princeton University in 2013, where he was an NSF Graduate Research Fellow. At Princeton, Sam worked with Professors Jordan Taylor and Yael Niv, and collaborated with Professor Richard Ivry (UC Berkeley). Sam’s research concerns measuring and modeling human motor and reinforcement learning and mapping different learning systems to their respective neural substrates, using psychophysics, computational models, neuropsychology, and fMRI. He earned his PhD in 2018, and is currently a postdoc at UC Berkeley with Professors Anne Collins and Richard Ivry.

Andrea Pack  
*Emory University*

Andrea Pack is an NSF graduate fellow in Neuroscience at Emory University. She is currently studying the neurobiology of motor control and sensorimotor learning in Dr. Samuel Sober’s lab. Her thesis research focuses on the development of activity patterns within and across vocal muscles during motor skill learning in songbirds.

Martha Streng  
*University of Minnesota*

I received my PhD in Neuroscience from the University of Minnesota Dr. Timothy Ebner’s lab, where I studied Purkinje cell and climbing fiber activity during online motor control tasks. I am currently a postdoctoral researcher in the lab of Dr. Esther Krook-Magnuson working on cerebellar neuromodulation in epilepsy.

Amanda Therrien  
*Johns Hopkins*

Amanda obtained her BSc in Human Kinetics from the University of Ottawa in 2008. She then obtained her PhD in Kinesiology specializing in Sensorimotor Neuroscience from McMaster University in 2013. Since then she been a postdoctoral fellow at the Kennedy Krieger Institute and Johns Hopkins School of Medicine.

Mark Wagner  
*Stanford University*

Mark Wagner is postdoctoral researcher with Dr. Liqun Luo at Stanford University. Mark is investigating the interactions between the neocortex and the cerebellum that underlie skill learning. Mark obtained his Ph.D. with Dr. Mark Schnitzer at Stanford, and his B.A. and M.S. with Dr. Maurice Smith at Harvard University.
The Society for the Neural Control of Movement is pleased to present a wide range of current research through the poster sessions. The posters have been divided over two sessions, each on display for two days.

**THEMES**

**A** - Control of Eye & Head Movement
**B** - Fundamentals of Motor Control
**C** - Posture and Gait
**D** - Integrative Control of Movement
**E** - Disorders of Motor Control
**F** - Adaptation & Plasticity in Motor Control
**G** - Theoretical & Computational Motor Control
Session #2

Friday April 26  08:00 - 15:00
Saturday April 27  08:00 - 15:00
The 29th Annual NCM Meeting

Thanks to Our Sponsors and Supporters